

ИНСТИТУТ ГОСУДАРСТВА И ПРАВА
РОССИЙСКОЙ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК

THE INSTITUTE OF STATE AND LAW
RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

ТРУДЫ
ИНСТИТУТА ГОСУДАРСТВА
И ПРАВА РАН

2019. Том 14. № 3



PROCEEDINGS
OF THE INSTITUTE OF STATE
AND LAW OF THE RAS

2019. Volume 14. No. 3

DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3

Москва

«Труды Института государства и права РАН / Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS» — мультиязычное научное периодическое издание, где публикуются результаты фундаментальных теоретических и прикладных исследований в сфере государства и права. Издается с 2006 г.

Выходит шесть раз в год.

Материалы публикуются на русском, английском, французском, немецком, испанском и итальянском языках.

Журнал зарегистрирован в Федеральной службе по надзору в сфере связи, информационных технологий и массовых коммуникаций (Свидетельство о регистрации средства массовой информации ПИ № ФС77-70200 от 21 июня 2017 г.). Включен в каталог агентств «Роспечать» и «Урал-пресс» (подписной индекс — 86119).

Входит в перечень рецензируемых научных изданий, в которых должны быть опубликованы основные научные результаты диссертаций на соискание ученой степени кандидата наук, на соискание ученой степени доктора наук по направлению «Юриспруденция». Включен в Российский индекс научного цитирования (РИНЦ).

Размещен в *E-library*, *Cyberleninka*, СПС «ГАРАНТ», *WorldCat*.

Адрес редакции:

119019, Москва, ул. Знаменка, д. 10
Тел.: +7 (495) 691-13-09

E-mail: trudy@igpran.ru
Сайт: <http://igpran.ru/trudy>
DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522

Тираж: 300 экземпляров. Заказ №

Отпечатано в ООО «Амирит»
410004, г. Саратов, ул. им. Чернышевского Н.Г., д. 88

Корректор: *O.B. Мехоншина*

Компьютерная верстка: *A.P. Савастеева*

“Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS” — a multilingual academic periodical for the coverage of the results of fundamental and applied enquiries in the field of State and law.

The Journal launched by the Institute in 2006 is published bimonthly (six times a year).

Manuscripts are accepted in Russian, English, German, French, Spanish or Italian.

The Journal has been registered as a mass media (registration certificate No. ФС77-70200 of 21 June 2017). It is included into the Press of Russia Agency Catalogue “Newspapers. Magazines”, subscription index — 86119. It is also available through the East View Information Services and “Ural-Press” Agency.

The Journal is recommended by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation for publication of scientific results of doctorate dissertations. It is indexed/abstracted in Russian Science Citation Index (RSCI), Scientific Electronic Library, Cyberleninka, Legal Information System “Garant”, WorldCat.

Address of the Editorial Board:

10, Znamenka str., Moscow 119019,
Russian Federation
Phone: +7 (495) 691-13-09

E-mail: trudy@igpran.ru
Web: <http://igpran.ru/en/proceedings.php>
DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522

Circulation: 300 copies. Order No.

Published by LLC “Amirit”
88, N.G. Chernyshevskogo str., Saratov 410004, Russian Federation

Printers proof reader: *O'l'ga V. Mehonoshina*
Desktop publisher: *Anna P. Savasteeva*

РЕДАКЦИОННАЯ КОЛЛЕГИЯ

Савенков Александр Николаевич
(главный редактор)

Институт государства и права РАН
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

Васильева Татьяна Андреевна
(заместитель главного редактора)

Институт государства и права РАН
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

Варламова Наталья Владимировна
(ответственный секретарь)

Институт государства и права РАН
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

Антокольская Мария
Амстердамский свободный
университет
(Амстердам, Нидерланды)

Антонов Михаил Валерьевич
Национальный исследовательский
университет Высшая школа экономики
в Санкт-Петербурге
(Санкт-Петербург,
Российская Федерация)

Баулинг Билл
Биркбек-колледж,
Лондонский университет
(Лондон, Великобритания)

Видра Дорис
Университет им. Париса Лодрона
(Зальцбург, Австрия)

Грачева Елена Юрьевна
Московский государственный
юридический университет
имени О.Е. Кутафина
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

Дождев Дмитрий Вадимович
Московская высшая школа
социальных и экономических наук
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

EDITORIAL BOARD

Alexander N. Savenkov
(Editor-in-Chief)

Institute of State and Law,
Russian Academy of Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Tatiana A. Vasilieva
(Deputy Editor-in-Chief)

Institute of State and Law,
Russian Academy of Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Natalia V. Varlamova
(Executive Secretary)

Institute of State and Law,
Russian Academy of Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Masha V. Antokolskaia
VU University Amsterdam
(Amsterdam, the Netherlands)

Mikhail V. Antonov
Higher School of Economics
National Research University,
Campus in Saint Petersburg
(Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation)

Bill Bowring
School of Law,
Birkbeck, University of London
(London, United Kingdom)

Doris Wydra
University of Salzburg
(Salzburg, Austria)

Elena Yu. Gracheva
Kutafin Moscow State Law University
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Dmitry V. Dozhdev
Moscow School of Social
and Economic Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Кленова Татьяна Владимировна
Самарский национальный
исследовательский университет
им. академика С.П. Королева
(Самара, Российская Федерация)

Мелкевич Бьянн
Университет Лавала
(Квебек, Канада)

Полубинская Светлана Вениаминовна
Институт государства и права РАН
(Москва, Российской Федерации)

Саликов Марат Сабирьянович
Уральский государственный
юридический университет
(Екатеринбург, Российской
Федерация)

Соболева Анита Карловна
Национальный исследовательский
университет Высшая школа экономики
(Москва, Российской Федерации)

Солан Лоуренс
Бруклинская школа права
(Бруклин, США)

Трунк Александр
Кильский университет
им. Христиана Альбрехта
(Киль, Германия)

Тимошина Елена Владимировна
Санкт-Петербургский
государственный университет
(Санкт-Петербург,
Российская Федерация)

Фиттипальди Эдоардо
Миланский государственный
университет
(Милан, Италия)

Ширвиндт Андрей Михайлович
МГУ им. М.В. Ломоносова
(Москва, Российской Федерации)

Tatyana V. Klenova
Korolev Samara National Research
University
(Samara, Russian Federation)

Bjarne Melkevik
University of Laval
(Quebec, Canada)

Svetlana V. Polubinskaya
Institute of State and Law,
Russian Academy of Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Marat S. Salikov
Ural State Law University
(Yekaterinburg, Russian Federation)

Anita K. Soboleva
Higher School of Economics National
Research University
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Lawrence Solan
Brooklyn Law School
(Brooklyn, USA)

Alexander Trunk
Kiel University
(Kiel, Germany)

Elena V. Timoshina
Saint Petersburg State University
(Saint Petersburg,
Russian Federation)

Edoardo Fittipaldi
State University of Milan
(Milan, Italy)

Andrey M. Shirvindt
Lomonosov Moscow State University
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

URGENT PRIVATE LAW PROBLEMS

Guido Noto La Diega

- Blockchains, Smart Contracts, and Copyright Law 9

PHILOSOPHY AND METHODOLOGY OF LAW

Viacheslav E. Kondurov

- Carl Schmitt's Political Theology: Discourse and Methodological Approach 49

Maxim A. Belyaev

- Deliberative Model of Democracy: Basic Principles and Practical Difficulties 79

HISTORY OF LEGAL CONCEPTS AND INSTITUTIONS

Dinar R. Zaynudinov

- Right Wing Socialist Constitutionalism:
Failed Alternative (1917–1918) 96

HUMAN RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

Elvira V. Talapina

- Evolution of Human Rights in the Digital Era 122

INTERNATIONAL LAW AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

Konstantin L. Chaika

- Court of Integrative Organization as International
Judicial Body 147

INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS AND FOREIGN EXPERIENCE

Irina K. Ivanova

- Constitutional Rationales for the United Kingdom's Exit
from the European Union 167

LAW AND ECOLOGY*Xin Ma*

- Criminal Responsibility for Environmental Offences
as a Tool for Prevention of Environmental Harm in China 187

CRITIQUE AND BIBLIOGRAPHY*Mikhail V. Antonov*

- Review: Feldbrugge, F. (2018). *A History of Russian Law. From Ancient Times to the Council Code (Ulozhenie) of Tsar Aleksei Mikhailovich of 1649*. Boston; Leiden: Brill.
1097 pp. 217

IN MEMORIA*Anna V. Pushkina, Irina N. Lukianova and Konstantin A. Lebed*

- The Teacher Has Passed Away... In Memory of Tamara E. Abova 230

GUIDO NOTO LA DIEGA

Northumbria University

Sutherland Building, 2 Ellison Pl, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 8ST, Great Britain

E-mail: guido.notoladiega@northumbria.ac.uk

ORCID: 0000-0001-6918-5398

BLOCKCHAINS, SMART CONTRACTS, AND COPYRIGHT LAW

Abstract. In October 2018, the European Parliament passed a resolution on distributed ledger technologies that recognized blockchains' potential to disrupt copyright and creative industries. The aim of this article is to examine blockchain technologies and provide an assessment of their disruptive potential upon the legal sphere of intellectual property, and in particular copyright in the music industry. In order to do so, this article will start off by clarifying that the blockchain does not exist, because there are several different types of blockchains and, accordingly, different legal and regulatory issues are involved. After identifying the type of permissionless blockchain that is analysed in this article – that is permissionless, turing complete, open, distributed, peer-to-peer, transparent, tamper resistant and censorship resistant –, we move on to identify the definitional and non-definitional features of blockchain technologies. For the blockchain to unleash its disruptive potential, it must be clarified whether it complies with existing laws and whether new regulations are needed. Should existing regulations be found insufficient, only then a serious discussion around new regulations could be started and this should take into account the necessity not to stifle innovation, the level of development of the relevant technologies, the importance of involving all the stakeholders and to place the discussion at a supranational level. The focus of this article is to critically assess whether public permissionless blockchains can be used to disrupt intellectual property law by resolving some of the problems in copyright law, with particular regard to the issues of copyright registration, infringement, and transactions. It will be shown how the blockchains can resolve the registration issues by allowing forms of tamper-resistant, censorship-resistant, user-friendly, and privacy-friendly copyright registration. As to infringement, the blockchains can prevent it by making it easier for copyright owners to track the use of their works and for music consumers and new intermediaries such as Spotify and iTunes to identify the owners, seek a license, and pay the royalties. Finally, smart contracts could be used to automate licensing and as forms of digital rights management, but this could be criticised from an efficient breach perspective, as well as by pointing out the difficulties of this technology in coping with copyright exceptions or defences. It is perhaps too soon to conclude that a 10-year-old technology will ultimately disrupt copyright, but there are already some indications that the Ethereum-type blockchains' features will radically change copyright by fixing some of its most urgent problems.

Keywords: copyright, blockchain, smart contracts, copyright registration, copyright infringement

REFERENCES

(2015). *All Eyes on the Sharing Society*. *World Intellectual Property Review*, [online] Available at: www.rightsdirect.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2015/04/WIPR-Kim-Zwollo-04-2015.pdf [Accessed 30 May 2018].

Al-Tawil, T. (2015). English Contract Law and the Efficient Breach Theory: Can They Co-Exist? *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law*, 22(3), pp. 396–416. DOI: 10.1177/1023263x1502200305

Arvelo, F. (2017). *RESIST – Uber and Subverting Regulations*. [online] The Bespoke Lawyer. Available at: <https://www.bespokelawyer.com/resist-uber-and-subverting-regulations/> [Accessed 28 March 2018].

Bacon, J., Michels, J.D., Millard, C. and Singh, J. (2017). *Blockchain Demystified*. [online] SSRN. Available at: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3091218> [Accessed 29 January 2018].

Bainbridge, D.I. (2018). *Intellectual Property*. 10th ed. Harlow; New York: Longman Pearson.

Beatson, J., Burrows, A. and Cartwright, J. (2016). *Anson's Law of Contract*. 30th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI: 10.1093/he/9780198734789.003.0001

Bell, T.W. (2016). Copyrights, Privacy, and the Blockchain. *Ohio Northern University Law Review*, 42(2), pp.439–470.

Bently, L. and Sherman, B. (2014). *Intellectual Property Law*. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI: 10.1093/he/9780199645558.001.0001

Bodó, B., Gervais, D. and Quintais, J.P. (2018). Blockchain and Smart Contracts: The Missing Link in Copyright Licensing? *International Journal of Law and Information Technology*, 26(4), pp. 311–336. DOI: 10.1093/ijlit/eay014

Cahoy, D.R. (2003). Oasis or Mirage: Efficient Breach as a Relief to the Burden of Contractual Recapture of Patent and Copyright Limitations. *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology*, 17(1), pp. 135–178.

Castronovo, C. (2003). La violazione della proprietà intellettuale come lesione del potere di disposizione. Dal danno all'arricchimento. *Il Diritto Industriale*, (1), pp. 7–16. (in It.).

Castronovo, C. (2006). *La nuova responsabilità civile*. Milano: A. Giuffrè. (in It.).

Chen, L., Xu, L., Gao, Z., Lu, Y. and Shi, W. (2018). Tyranny of the Majority: On the (Im)Possibility of Correctness of Smart Contracts. *IEEE Security and Privacy*, 16(4), pp. 30–37. DOI: 10.1109/MSP.2018.3111240

Cornell, K.W.N. (2017). Contracts Ex Machina. *Duke Law Journal*, 67(2), pp. 313–382.

Dahm, P. (2018). *The Efficient Breach of Smart Contracts*. [online] Asia Law Network Blog. Available at: <https://learn.asialawnetwork.com/2018/02/22/efficient-breach-smart-contracts/> [Accessed 14 May 2019].

Depoorter, B. and Tontrup, S. (2012). How Law Frames Moral Intuitions: The Expressive Effect of Specific Performance. *Arizona Law Review*, 54, pp. 673–717.

Eisenberg, M.A. (2018). *The Theory of Efficient Breach*. Volume 1. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2018. DOI: 10.1093/oso/9780199731404.003.0006

Ende van der, M., Rohlfs, S., Yagafarova, A., Bas de, P., Poort, J., Haffner, R. and Til van, H. (2014). *Estimating Displacement Rates of Copyrighted Content in the EU. Final Report*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. DOI: 10.2780/26736

Fairfield, J.A.T. (2015). Bitproperty. *Southern California Law Review*, 88(4), pp. 805–874.

Finck, M. (2017). *Blockchain Regulation*. [online] SSRN. Available at: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3014641> [Accessed 26 March 2018]. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.3014641

Finck, M. and Moscon, V. (2019). Copyright Law on Blockchains: Between New Forms of Rights Administration and Digital Rights Management 2.0. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 50(1), pp. 77–108. DOI: 10.1007/s40319-018-00776-8

Garber, J. (2017). *Bitcoin Spikes after Japan Says it's a Legal Payment Method*. [online] Business Insider. Available at: <http://uk.businessinsider.com/bitcoin-price-spikes-as-japan-recognizes-it-as-a-legal-payment-method-2017-4?r=US&IR=T> [Accessed 22 March 2018].

Geiger, C., Frosio, G. and Bulayenko, O. (2018). *The Exception for Text and Data Mining (TDM) in the Proposed Directive on Copyright in the Digital Single Market – Legal Aspects*. Brussels: European Union.

Haas, R. (2010). Twitter: New Challenges to Copyright Law in the Internet Age. *The John Marshall Review of Intellectual Property Law*, 10, pp. 231–254.

Heap, I. and Tapscott, D. (2016). *Blockchain Could Be Music's Next Disruptor*. [online] Fortune. Available at: <https://fortune.com/2016/09/22/blockchain-music-disruption> [Accessed 01 June 2018].

Herian, R. (2018). Regulating Disruption: Blockchain, GDPR, and Questions of Data Sovereignty. *Journal of Internet Law*, 22(2), pp. 1–16.

Ibáñez, L.-D., O'Hara, K. and Simperl, E. (2018). *On Blockchains and the General Data Protection Regulation*. [online] Researchgate. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/326913146_On_Blockchains_and_the_General_Data_Protection_Regulation [Accessed 20 March 2018].

Kenney, M. and Zysman, J. (2016). The Rise of the Platform Economy. *Issues in Science and Technology*, [online] 32(3). Available at: <https://issues.org/the-rise-of-the-platform-economy/> [Accessed 22 March 2018].

Klincewicz, M. (2015). Autonomous Weapons Systems, the Frame Problem and Computer Security. *Journal of Military Ethics*, 14(2), pp. 162–176. DOI: 10.1080/15027570.2015.1069013

Lando, O. and Beale, H., eds. (2000). *Principles of European Contract Law: Parts I and II*. La Haye: Kluwer Law International.

Lexi, B., Jurisevic, A., Kong, M., Liu, E., Gauthier, F., Gramoli, V., Holz, R. and Scholz, B. (2018). *Vandal: A Scalable Security Analysis Framework for Smart Contracts*. [online] ArXiv. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1809.03981.pdf> [Accessed 12 March 2018].

Li, J. (2018). Data Transmission Scheme Considering Node Failure for Blockchain. *Wireless Personal Communications*, 103(2), pp. 179–194. DOI: 10.1007/s11277-018-5434-x

Lopp, J. (2016). *Bitcoin and the Rise of the Cypherpunks*. [online] CoinDesk. Available at: <https://www.coindesk.com/the-rise-of-the-cypherpunks> [Accessed 22 March 2018].

Maas, T. (2017). *Blockchain: The 3 Core Components*. [online] LinkedIn. Available at: <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/blockchain-3-core-components-thijs-maas> [Accessed 29 January 2018].

Maas, T. (2017). *What is Blockchain Technology?* [online] Law & Blockchain. Available at: <https://www.lawandblockchain.eu/post-template/> [Accessed 29 January 2018].

Mann, A.J. (2016). The Anatomy of Copyright Law in Scotland before 1710. In: I. Alexander and H.T. Gómez-Arostegui, eds. *Research Handbook on the History of Copyright Law*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 96–118. DOI: 10.4337/9781783472406.00012

Matthias, B. and Steiner, M. (2016). Blockchain Technology and the GDPR – How to Reconcile Privacy and Distributed Ledgers? *European Data Protection Law Review*, 2(3), pp. 422–426. DOI: 10.21552/EDPL/2016/3/21

Matzutt, R., Hiller, J., Henze, M., Ziegeldorf, J.H., Müllmann, D., Hohlfeld, O. and Wehrle, K. (2018). A Quantitative Analysis of the Impact of Arbitrary Blockchain Content on Bitcoin. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security (FC)*. [online] Berlin: Springer. Available at: <https://fc18.ifca.ai/preproceedings/6.pdf> [Accessed 12 March 2019]. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-58387-6_23

Maupin, J.A. (2017). *Mapping the Global Legal Landscape of Blockchain Technologies*. [online] Centre for International Governance Innovation. Available at: <https://www.cigionline.org/sites/default/files/documents/Paper%20no.149.pdf> [Accessed 12 April 2018]

Mik, E. (2017). Smart Contracts: Terminology, Technical Limitations and Real World Complexity. *Law, Innovation and Technology*, 9(2), pp. 269–300.

Mills, D., Wang, K., Malone, B., Ravi, A., Marquardt, J., Chen, C., Badev, A., Brezinski, T., Fahy, L., Liao, K., Kargenian, V., Ellithorpe, M., Ng, W. and Baird, M. (2016). *Distributed Ledger Technology in Payments, Clearing, and Settlement*. Washington: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. DOI: 10.17016/FEDS.2016.095

Montagnani, M.L. and Aime, G. (2017). Il text and data mining e il diritto d'autore. *Annali italiani del diritto d'autore, della cultura e dello spettacolo*, XXVI, pp. 376–394. (in It.).

Noto La Diega, G.N. (2016). Uber Law and Awareness by Design. An Empirical Study on Online Platforms and Dehumanised Negotiations. *Revue européenne de droit de la consommation*, (II), pp. 383–413.

Noto La Diega. G.N. (2015). In Light of the Ends. Copyright Hysteresis and Private Copy Exception after the British Academy of Songwriters, Composers and Authors (BASCA) and Others v Secretary of State for Business, Innovation and Skills Case. Diritto Mercato Tecnologia, (II), pp. 1–16.

O'Hara, K. (2017). Smart Contracts-Dumb Idea. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 21(2), pp. 97–101. DOI:10.1109/MIC.2017.48

O'Dair, M. (2016). *Music On the Blockchain. Blockchain for Creative Industries Research Cluster*. London: Middlesex University.

Pardolesi, R. (1987). "Software", "Property Rights" e diritto d'autore: il ritorno dal paese delle meraviglie. *Foro italiano*, 3(II), pp. 289 – 300 (in It.).

Pereira, D.S. and Madureira, C. (2017).The CJEU Decision in Stowarzyszenie "Oławska Telewizja Kablowa", C-367/15, and Punitive Damages in Copyright Law: A Portuguese Perspective. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*,12(5). pp. 373–375. DOI: 10.1093/jiplp/jpx032

Perell, P.M. (2011). Common Law Damages, Specific Performance and Equitable Compensation in an Abortive Contract for the Sale of Land: A Synopsis. *Advocates' Quarterly*, 37, pp. 408–415.

Plaia, A. (2005). *Proprietà intellettuale e risarcimento del danno*. Torino: Giappichelli, 2005. (in It.).

Posner, R.A. (2014). *Economic Analysis of Law*. 9th ed. New York: Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, 2014

Raskin, M. (2017). The Law and Legality of Smart Contracts. *Georgetown Law Technology Review*, 1(2), pp. 305–341.

Reed, C. and Murray, A. (2018). *Rethinking the Jurisprudence of Cyberspace*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar. DOI: 10.4337/9781785364297.

Regulatory Issues in Blockchain Technology. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, 25(2), pp. 96–208. DOI: 10.1108/JFRC-08-2016-0068

Rosic, A. (2016). *What is Blockchain Technology? A Step-by-Step Guide for Beginners*. [online] Blockgeeks. Available at: <https://blockgeeks.com/guides/what-is-blockchain-technology/> [Accessed 3 February 2018].

Savelyev, A. (2018). Copyright in the Blockchain Era: Promises and Challenges. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 34(3), pp. 550–561. DOI: 10.1016/j.clsr.2017.11.008

Sermpinis, Th. and Sermpinis, Ch. (2018). *Traceability Decentralization in Supply Chain Management Using Blockchain Technologies*. [online] ArXiv. Available at: arxiv.org/pdf/1810.09203.pdf [Accessed 23 April 2018].

Stankovic, S. (2018). *An Introductory Guide to Cryptocurrency Regulation*. [online] Unblock. Available at: <https://unblock.net/cryptocurrency-regulation/> [Accessed 22 March 2018].

Swan, M. (2015).*Blockchain: Blueprint for a New Economy*. Sebastopol: O'Reilly.

Szabo, N. (1994). *Smart Contracts Phonetic Sciences*. [online] Phonetic Sciences. Available at: <http://www.fon.hum.uva.nl/rob/Courses/InformationInSpeech/CDROM/Literature/LOTwinterschool2006/szabo.best.vwh.net/smart.contracts.html> [Accessed 15 May 2019].

Telpner, J. (2018). *The Lion, the Unicorn, and the Crown. Striking a Balance Between Regulation and Blockchain Innovation*. [online] Toronto: Blockchain Research Institute. Available at: https://www.sullivanlaw.com/assets/html/documents/Telpner_The%20Lion%20the%20Unicorn%20and%20the%20Crown_Blockchain%20Research%20Institute.pdf [Accessed 7 February 2018].

Uggla, C. and Hallström, C.-J. (2018). *Is It as Trustless as They Say?: A Functional Analysis of the Blockchain and Trust*. [online] Jönköping: Jönköping University International Business School. Available at: <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/7668/afe9165f549d755402965161ba3d242c4d5b.pdf> [Accessed 3 February 2018].

Ulen, T.S. (1984). The Efficiency of Specific Performance: Toward a Unified Theory of Contract Remedies. *Michigan Law Review*, 83(2), pp. 341–403. DOI: 10.2307/1288569

- Waelde, C., Brown, A., Kheria, S. and Cornwell, J. (2016). *Contemporary Intellectual Property*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI: 10.1093/he/9780198733690.001.0001
- Wallach, D.A. (2014). *Bitcoin for Rockstars*. [online] Wired. Available at: <https://www.wired.com/2014/12/bitcoin-for-rockstars/> [Accessed 01 June 2018].
- Werbach, K.D. (2017). The Song Remains the Same: What Cyberlaw Might Teach the Next Internet Economy. *Florida Law Review*, 69(3), pp. 887–957.
- Wright, A. and Filippi de, P. (2015). *Decentralized Blockchain Technology and the Rise of Lex Cryptographia*. [online] SSRN. Available at: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2580664 [Accessed 01 June 2018].
- Yaga, D., Mell, P., Roby, N. and Scarfone, K. (2018). *Blockchain Technology Overview*. [online] NIST. Available at: <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/ir/2018/NIST.IR.8202.pdf>. [Accessed 23 April 2018]. DOI: 10.6028/NIST.IR.8202
- Yeung, K. (2019). Regulation by Blockchain: The Emerging Battle for Supremacy Between the Code of Law and Code as Law. *Modern Law Review*, 82(2), pp. 207–239. DOI: 10.1111/1468-2230.12399

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Guido Noto La Diega – PhD in Private Law, Senior Lecturer in Cyber Law and Intellectual Property at Northumbria Law School (UK).

CITATION:

Noto La Diega, G. (2019). Blockchains, Smart Contracts, and Copyright Law. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva I prava RAN – Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14 (3), pp. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3-notoladiega

VIACHESLAV E. KONDUROV

Saint Petersburg State University
7/9, Universitetskaya embankment, Saint Petersburg 199034, Russian Federation
E-mail: viacheslav.kondurov@gmail.com
ORCID: 0000-0002-7331-4305

CARL SCHMITT'S POLITICAL THEOLOGY: DISCOURSE AND METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

The article was prepared within the framework of the scientific project № 18-011-01195 “Validity and efficacy of law: theoretical models and strategies of judicial argumentation”, supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

Abstract. Political theology is a complex multi-valued concept, the use of which is often associated with the discrediting of certain ideologies, beliefs or value systems. Meanwhile, this concept has a serious theoretical and methodological potential, which due to numerous inversions is almost forgotten. Political theology in the legal sense, from the point of view of C. Schmitt, is a methodological approach, implying a historically changeable analogous similarity between the two systems of concepts – metaphysical (theological) and state-legal, which form corresponding visions of the world of a particular era. The content of this approach consists of three interrelated theses: 1) political theology is a kind of sociology of legal concepts (“sociological” thesis); 2) political theology assumes an analogy between the concepts of the doctrine of state and law and theological concepts (the thesis of the conceptual analogy); 3) political theology implies an analogy between the metaphysical and state-legal visions of the world of a particular epoch, in the structure of which these concepts exist (the thesis of structural analogy).

The heuristic significance of political theology as a methodological approach remains hidden. It is perceived as a kind of conservative ideology or even rhetorical practice. In fact, political theology, by contrast, can be a useful tool for discovering the hidden rhetorical potential of various political and legal arguments. In addition, with its help, new aspects of such classical problems of the theory and philosophy of law as validity of legal order and its grounds, the concept of sovereign, the essence and limits of justice, strategies of judicial argumentation, etc., are revealed.

Being the core of C. Schmitt's theoretical and legal thinking, political theology appears to be connected not only with the German lawyer's direct application of its basic theses (for example, to study the concept of sovereign or the neutralization and depoliticization movement), but it also appears in the Schmitt's basis of the validity of legal order, which German lawyer interprets as common ideas about the “normal” for a specific community, including the “normal” state-legal system, which are similar, according to the political theology, to the metaphysical vision of the world.

Political theology as a methodological approach reveals itself in Schmitt's criticism of the axiological foundations of constitutional justice. In the essay “Tyranny of Values” the practice of direct application of values by the courts, which is the content of a realistic strategy of judicial argumentation, is interpreted by a lawyer as a special case of the manifestation of a political and theological neutralization and depoliticization movement.

Keywords: political theology, legal methodology, Carl Schmitt, the validity of law, constitutional justice, sovereign, institutionalism, axiology, realistic strategy of legal argumentation

REFERENCES

- Ashley, J.M. (2004). Johann Baptist Metz. In: P. Scott and W.T. Cavannaugh, eds. *The Blackwell Companion to Political Theology*. Malden; Oxford; Carlton; Victoria: Blackwell Publishing Ltd., pp. 241–255. DOI: 10.1002/9780470997048.ch18
- Assman, J. (2003). Monotheismus als Politische Theologie. In: J. Brokoff and J. Fohrmann, eds. *Politische Theologie. Formen und Funktionen im 20. Jahrhundert (Studien zu Judentum und Christentum)*. Paderborn: F. Schöningh, pp. 13–27. (in Germ.).
- Ball, H. (1983). Carl Schmitts politische Theologie. In: J. Taubes, ed. *Religionstheorie und Politische Theologie. Volume 1. Der Fürst dieser Welt. Carl Schmitt und die Folgen*. München: W. Fink; Verlag; F. Schöningh, pp. 100–116. (in Germ.).
- Belov, S.A. (2012). Tsennostnoe obosnovanie reshenii kak proyavlenie sudebnogo aktivizma Konstitutsionnogo Suda Rossiiskoi Federatsii [The Value-Based Approach to the Argumentation as a Form of Judicial Activism of the Russian Constitutional Court]. *Sravnitel'noe konstitutsionnoe obozrenie [Comparative Constitutional Review]*, (2), pp. 140–150. (in Russ.).
- Böckenförde, E.-W. (1983). Politische Theorie und politische Theologie. Bemerkungen zu ihrem gegenseitigen Verhältnis. In: J. Taubes, ed. *Religionstheorie und Politische Theologie. Volume 1. Der Fürst dieser Welt. Carl Schmitt und die Folgen*. München: W. Fink; F. Schöningh, pp. 16–25. (in Germ.).
- Burkhard, C. (2007). Das rhetorische Moment von Politischer Theologie. *Zeitschrift für Politik*, 54(4), pp. 408–430. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.5771/0044-3360-2007-4-408
- Castrucci, E. (1997). Politische Theologie. Eine ideengeschichtliche Rekonstruktion. In: R. Morsey, H. Quaritsch and H. Siedenkopf, eds. *Staat, Politik, Verwaltung, Gedächtnisschrift für Roman Schnur*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, pp. 149–165. (in Germ.).
- Croce, M. and Salvatore, A. (2013). *The Legal Theory of Carl Schmitt*. Abingdon: Routledge. DOI: 10.4324/9780203096949
- Derevjanchenko, Yu.I. (2010). Suverenitet i demokratiya: Shmitt vs Khabermas [Sovereignty and Democracy: Schmitt vs Habermas]. *Vestnik Nizhegorodskogo universiteta im. N.I. Lobachevskogo. Seriya: Sotsial'nye nauki*. [Vestnik of Lobachevsky State University of Nizhni Novgorod. Series: Social Sciences], (2), pp. 50–54. (in Russ.).
- Filippov, A.F. (2015). Hanna Arendt i Carl Schmitt: dva ponyatiya politicheskogo [Hannah Arendt and Carl Schmitt: Two Concepts of Political]. In: A.N. Salikov and I.O. Dement'ev, eds. *Sovremennoe znachenie idei Hannы Arendt Khanny Arendt. Materialy mezhdunarodnoi konferentsii* [The Modern Meaning of the Ideas of Hannah Arendt. Materials of the International Conference]. Kaliningrad: Baltiiskii federal'nyi universitet im. I. Kanta Publ., pp. 52–65. (in Russ.).
- Filippov, A.F. (2015). *K predistorii politicheskoi teologii* [On the Prehistory of the Political Theology]. *Gefter* [Gefter], [online]. Available at: <http://gefter.ru/archive/14981> [Accessed 15 May 2019]. (in Russ.).
- Filippov, A.F. (2016). K istorii ponyatiya politicheskogo: proshloe odnogo proekta [On the History of the Concept of the Political: The Past of One Project]. In: Schmitt, C. *Ponyatie politicheskogo* [The Concept of the Political]. Translated from German by A.F. Filippov, Yu. Yu. Korinets and A.P. Shurablev. Moscow: Nauka, pp. 432–551. (in Russ.).
- Forsthoff, E. (1959). Die Umbildung des Verfassungsgesetzes. In: H. Barion, E. Forsthoff and W. Weber, eds. *Festschrift für Carl Schmitt zum 70. Geburtstag*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, pp. 35–62. (in Germ.).
- Kahn, P.W. (2011). *Political Theology. Four New Chapters on the Concept of Sovereignty*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Kelsen, H. (1922–1923). Gott und Staat. *Logos: Zeitschrift für systematische Philosophie*, 11, pp. 261–284. (in Germ.).
- Kelsen, H. (1928). *Das Problem der Souveränität und die Theorie des Völkerrechts. Beitrag zu einer reinen Rechtslehre*. 2nd ed. Tübingen: J.C.B. Mohr. (in Germ.).

Kondurov, V.E. (2018). Osnovaniya deistvitel'nosti pravoporyadka i problema yustitsial'nosti "politicheskogo": K. Shmitt o granitsakh yustitsii [The Foundations of the Validity of Legal Order and the Problem of the Justiciability of the "Political": C. Schmitt on the Limits of Justice]. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN* [Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS], 13(5), pp. 63–91. (in Russ.).

Marulewska, K. (2014). Schmitt's Political Theology as a Methodological Approach. In: A. Lisiak and N. Smolenski, eds. *What Do Ideas Do? IWM Junior Visiting Fellows' Conferences*. [online] Vienna: IWM. Available at: <http://www.iwm.at/publications/5-junior-visiting-fellows-conferences/vol-xxxiii/schmitts-political-theology/> [Accessed 24 March 2019].

Meier, H. (2006). Was ist Politische Theologie? Einführende Bemerkungen zu einem umstrittenen Begriff. In: Assman, J. *Politische Theologie zwischen Aegypten und Israel*. 3rd ed. München: Carl Friedrich von Siemens Stiftung, pp. 7–22. (in Germ.).

Meier, H. (2011). *The Lesson of Carl Schmitt. Four Chapters on the Distinction between Political Theology and Political Philosophy*. Chicago; London: The University of Chicago Press. DOI: 10.7208/chicago/9780226189352.001.0001

Melkevik, B. (2009). L'abîme et "l'exception": Schmitt, Agamben et le Schmittisme. *Mirandum*, 12(20), pp. 5–22. (in Fr.). [Russ. ed.: Melkevik, B. Propast' i "isklyuchenie": Shmitt, Agamben i shmittianstvo [The Abyss and the "Exception": Schmitt, Agamben and Schmittianism]. In: Melkevik, B. (2018). *Zametki po istorii pravovykh ponyatiy*. [Notes on the History of Legal Concepts]. Translated from French by E.G. Samohina. Saint Petersburg: Izdatel'skii dom "Alef-Press", pp. 7–35].

Morhof, D.G. (1662). *Theologia gentium politica exhibens cultum principium idololatricum et genuinum*. Rostock: Kilius. (in Lat.).

Neumann, V. (2008). Theologie als Staatsrechts wissenschaftliches Argument: Hans Kelsen und Carl Schmitt. *Der Staat*, 47(2), pp. 163–186. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.3790/staa.47.2.163

Ottmann, H. (1990). Politische Theologie als Begriffsgeschichte. Oder: Wie man politische Begriffe der Neuzeit politisch-theologisch erklären kann. In: V. Gerhardt, ed. *Der Begriff der Politik. Bedingungen und Gründe politischen Handeln*. Stuttgart: J.B. Metzlersche, pp. 169–188. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.1007/978-3-476-03308-6_9

Pershin, Yu.Yu. (2011). Politicheskaya teologiya v topologii racional'nogo diskursa [Political Theology in the Topology of Rational Discourse]. *Lichnost'. Kul'tura. Obshchestvo* [Personality. Culture. Society], 13 (1), pp. 246–253. (in Russ.).

Pesch, V. (1999). Jenseits politischer Wirklichkeiten. Zur Kritik von Carl Schmitts politischer Theologie. *Zeitschrift für Politik*, 46(3), pp. 335–354. (in Germ.).

Peterson, E. (1935). *Der Monotheismus als politisches Problem*. Leipzig: J. Hegner. (in Germ.).

Reinthal, A., Mußgnug, R. and Mußgnug, D. eds. (2007). *Briefwechsel Ernst Forsthoff – Carl Schmitt (1926–1974)*. Berlin: Akademie. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.1524/9783050078595

Rissing, M. and Rissing, T., eds. (2009). *Politische Theologie. Schmitt – Derrida – Metz. Eine Einführung*. München: W. Fink. (in Germ.).

Sanctus Aurelius Augustinus (1468). *De Civitate Dei*. Strassburg: Mentelin. (in Lat.). [Russ. ed.: Sanctus Aurelius Augustinus (2000). *Tvorenija: V 4 t. T. 3: O Grade Bozhiem. Knigi I – XIII.* [Creations in Four Volumes]. Volume 3: On the City of God. Books I–XIII. Saint Petersburg; Kiev: Aleteiya; UCIMM-Press].

Schmitt, C. (1922). *Politische Theologie: Vier Kapitel zur Lehre von der Souveränität*. München: Duncker & Humblot. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Schmitt, C. (2016). Politicheskaya teologiya. Chetyre glavy k ucheniyu o suverenitete [Political Theology. Four Chapters on the Concept of Sovereignty]. Translated from German by Yu.Yu. Korints. In. Schmitt, C. *Ponyatie politicheskogo*. Moscow: Nauka. pp. 5–59].

Schmitt, C. (1930). Staatsethik und pluralistischer Staat. *Kant-Studien*, 35(1), pp. 28–41. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Schmitt, C. (2010). *Gosudarstvennaya etika i plyuralisticheskoe gosu-*

darstvo [State Ethics and the Pluralist State]. In: Schmitt, C. *Gosudarstvo i politicheskaya forma*. Translated from German by O.V. Kil'dyushov. Moscow: HSE Publishing House, pp. 237–258].

Schmitt, C. (1932). *Legalität und Legitimität*. München: Duncker & Humblot (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Schmitt, C. (2016). Legal'nost' i legitimnost' [Legality and Legitimacy]. Translated from German by A.F. Filippov, Yu.Yu. Korints and A.P. Shurablev. In: Schmitt, C. *Ponyatie politicheskogo* [The Concept of the Political]. Moscow: Nauka, pp. 171–279].

Schmitt, C. (1933). *Staat, Bewegung, Volk. Die Dreigliederung der politischen Einheit*. Hamburg: Hanseatische Verlagsanstalt. (in Germ.).

Schmitt, C. (1934). *Über die drei Arten des rechtswissenschaftlichen Denkens*. Hamburg: Hanseatische. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Schmitt, C. (2013). O trekh vidah yuridicheskogo myshleniya [On the Three Types of Juristic Thought]. In: Schmitt, C. *Gosudarstvo: pravo i politika* [The State: Law and Politics]. Translated from German by O.V. Kil'dyushov. Moscow: Izdatel'skii dom “Terroriya budushchego”, pp. 309–355].

Schmitt, C. (1935). Was bedeutet Streit um den “Rechtsstaat”? *Zeitschrift für die gesamte Staatswissenschaft*, 95(2), pp. 189–200. (in Germ.).

Schmitt, C. (1950). *Der Nomos der Erde im Völkerrecht des Jus Publicum Europaeum*. Köln: Greven. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Schmitt, C. (2008). *Nomos zemli v prave narodov jus publicum europaeum* [The Nomos of the Earth in the International Law of Jus Publicum Europaeum]. Translated from German K. Loshchevskii and Yu. Korints. Saint Petersburg: “Vladimir Dal”].

Schmitt, C. (1963). Das Zeitalter der Neutralisierungen und Entpolitisierungen. In: Schmitt, C. *Der Begriff des Politischen*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, pp. 79–95. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Schmitt, C. (2016). Epokha neutralizatsii i depolitizatsii [The Age of Neutralizations and Depoliticizations]. Translated from German by A.F. Filippov. In: Schmitt, C. *Ponyatie politicheskogo*. Moscow: Nauka, pp. 357–372].

Schmitt, C. (1963). *Der Begriff des Politischen*. Berlin: Duncker und Humblot. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Schmitt, C. (2016). *Ponyatie politicheskogo* [The Concept of the Political]. Translated from German by A.F. Filippov. In: Schmitt, C. *Ponyatie politicheskogo*. Moscow: Nauka, pp. 281–356].

Schmitt, C. (2003). Das Problem des Legalität. In: Schmitt, C. *Verfassungsrechtliche Aufsätze aus den Jahren 1924–1954. Materialen zu einer Verfassungslehre*. 4th ed. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, pp. 440–451. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-01329-6

Schmitt, C. (2008). *Politische theologie II. Die Legende von der Erledigung jeder Politischen Theologie*. 5th ed. Berlin: Duncker und Humblot. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-52870-7

Schmitt, C. (2011). Die Tyrannie der Werte. In: Schmitt, C. *Die Tyrannie der Werte*. 3rd ed. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, pp. 9–55. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-53457-9

Schmitt, C. (2015). *Der Wert des Staates und die Bedeutung des Einzelnen*. 3rd ed. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-54509-4

Schönberger, C. (2011). Werte als Gefahr für das Recht? Carl Schmitt und die Karlsruher Republik. In: Schmitt, C. *Die Tyrannie der Werte*. 3rd ed. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, pp. 57–91. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-53457-9

Spektorskii, E.V. (1915). Nominalizm i realizm v obshchestvennykh naukakh [Nominalism and Realism in the Social Sciences]. *Yuridicheskii vestnik* [Legal Journal], (9), pp. 5–44. (in Russ.).

Spektorskii, E.V. (2006). *Problema sotsial'noi fiziki v XVII stoletii. V 2 t.* [The Problem of Social Physics in the 17th Century in Two Volumes]. Volume 2. Saint Petersburg: Nauka. (in Russ.).

Strauss, L. (1959). What is Political Philosophy? In: Strauss, L. *What is Political Philosophy and Other Studies*. Glencoe: Free Press, pp. 9–55. [Russ. ed.: Strauss, L. (2000). Chto takoe politicheskaya filosofiya? In: Strauss, L. *Vvedenie v politicheskuyu filosofiyu*. Translated from English by M. Fetisov. Moscow: Logos; Praksis, pp. 9–50].

Timoshina, E.V. (2013). Pravo kak “ideya”, kak “fikciya” i kak “fakt”: o nominalizme i realizme v teorii prava [Law as an “Idea”, as a “Fiction” and as a “Fact”: On the Nominalism and Realism in Legal Theory]. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN* [Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS], (4), pp. 48–75. (in Russ.).

Tuzov, M.L. (2008). Sootnoshenie bogopostavlennosti tsarya i bogoustanovlennosti vlasti v politicheskoi teologii Srednikh vekov [The Relation Between the God-Established Power of the Tzar and the Divinely-Established Power of the Authorities in the Political Theology of the Middle Ages]. *Uchenye zapiski Kazanskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta. Gumanitarnye nauki*. [Proceedings of Kazan University. Humanities Series], 150(7), pp. 49–59. (in Russ.).

Wacker, B. and Manemann, J. (2008). “Politische Theologie”. Eine Skizze zur Geschichte und aktuellen Diskussion des Begriffs. *Jahrbuch Politische Theologie*, 5, pp. 28–65. (in Germ.).

Yushina, S.V. (2015). Politicheskaya teologiya kak odno iz yavlenii sovremennoi islamskoi koncepcii vlasti [Political Theology as One of the Phenomena of Modern Islamic Power Concept]. *Izvestiya vysshih uchebnyh zavedenij. Severo-Kavkazskij region. Obshchestvennye nauki* [University News. North-Caucasian Region. Social Sciences Series], (4), pp. 18–21. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.18522/0321-3056-2015-4-18-21

Zank, M. (2007). Politische Theologie als Genealogie. Anmerkungen zu Schmitt, Strauss, Peterson und Assmann. In: G. Palmer, ed. *Fragen nach dem einen Gott. Die Monotheismusdebatte im Kontext*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, pp. 229–250. (in Germ.).

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Viacheslav E. Kondurov – Post-Graduate Student of Saint Petersburg State University, Field of Study “Law”

CITATION:

Kondurov, V.E. (2019). Carl Schmitt's Political Theology: Discourse and Methodological Approach. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN – Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14(3), pp. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3-kondurov

MAXIM A. BELYAEV

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences
10, Znamenka str., Moscow 119019, Russian Federation
E-mail: yurist84@inbox.ru
ORCID: 0000-0002-7498-5231

DELIBERATIVE MODEL OF DEMOCRACY: BASIC PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICAL DIFFICULTIES

The paper was prepared within the framework of the scientific project № 19-011-00796 “Deliberacy as the principle of making public decisions: the legal dimension”, supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

Abstract: There are various decision-making models in politics, and each model is closely related to some principle. If this principle is abandoned, the model will collapse. But one principle is not enough for the good functioning model, more requires a relationship that ensures its implementation. If such relationships do not develop, the basic idea remains unreal. If the principles discussed above are built into the system, a classification of political regimes will be obtained. Scientists designate two kinds of models of democracy: the first ones are aggregative, the second ones are deliberative. The sign on which they differ is a way to legitimize decisions. In the aggregative model, the solution is legitimate, if it has the support of the majority. In the deliberative model, the decision is legitimate if it is a consensus. If there is progress in society, the models of democracy also change. From a less developed model, a transition to a more developed one occurs. Suppose that in each model there are formal and informal elements. Informal elements give more freedom to citizens, but at the same time give less guarantees. A large number of informal elements is a sign of progress. Therefore, deliberative democracy is the most developed. Development is necessary and contingent. If the community is interested in the necessary development, such requirements should be voiced. The ability to talk about their needs in political life is very important. This ability should not depend on the specific type of political regime. Bureaucracy should not interfere with free political expression, but the political communication is an independent phenomenon. This communication can be described by a category of substance. It is an independent force in developed societies. It has the inherent freedom of participation and rationality of actors, both properties are expressed to some extent, but not absolutely. There are many reasons for imperfect communication, however they can be compensated for using specific procedures. These procedures aim at political consensus, but its achievement is difficult in any political environment. The law cannot generate new social objects and properties on its own. But the law can play a very significant secondary role. For example, the law may block the actions of some subjects, if these subjects impede political development. You can achieve a holistic view of the impact of rights on policy. For this you need to examine carefully the ratio of formal and informal political practices. In addition, it is necessary to clarify the legal status of all political actors (in particular, potential, emerging and excluded from communication, but to be included).

Keywords: politics, power, law, political regime, democracy, aggregative model of democracy, deliberative model of democracy, communication

REFERENCES:

Ackerman, B. and Fishkin, J. (2004). *Deliberation Day*. New Haven; London: Yale University Press.

- Brummett, B. (1980). Towards a Theory of Silence as a Political Strategy. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 66(3), pp. 289–303. DOI: 10.1080/00335638009383527
- Dews, P., ed. (1992). *Autonomy and Solidarity: Interviews with J. Habermas*. London; New York: Verso.
- Dolfsma, W. (2013). *Government Failure: Society, Markets and Rules*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publ. DOI: 10.4337/9781782547167
- Eriksen, E.O. and Weigard, J. (2003). *Understanding Habermas. Communicative Action and Deliberative Democracy*. London: Continuum. DOI: 10.5040/9781474213219
- Freud, S. (1937). Die endliche und die unendliche Analyse. *Internationale Zeitschrift für Psychoanalyse*, 23(2), pp. 209–240. (in Germ.).
- Gutmann, A. and Thompson, D. (2004). *Why Deliberative Democracy?* Princeton; Oxford: Princeton University Press. DOI: 10.1515/9781400826339
- Habermas J. (1992). *Faktizität und Geltung: Beiträge zur Diskurstheorie des Rechts und des demokratischen Rechtsstaats*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp. (in Germ.).
- Habermas, J. (1984). *The Theory of Communicative Action. Volume I*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Hacker, K. and Dijk van, J. (2000). *Digital Democracy, Issues of Theory and Practice*. London: SAGE. DOI: 10.4135/9781446218891
- Hardin, R. (1999). Deliberation: Methods, Not Theory. In: S. Macedo, ed. *Deliberative Politics. Essays on Democracy and Disagreement*. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 103–122.
- Honneth, A. (2011). *Das Recht der Freiheit – Grundriß einer demokratischen Sittlichkeit*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp. (in Germ.).
- Lenaghan, J. (1999). Involving the Public in Rationing Decisions. The Experience of Citizens Juries. *Health Policy*, 49(1–2), pp. 45–61.
- Moka-Mubelo, W. (2017). *Reconciling Law and Morality in Human Rights Discourse*. Cham: Springer. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-49496-8
- Parkinson, J. (2004). Why Deliberate? The Encounter Between Deliberation and New Public Managers. *Public Administration*, 82(2), pp. 377–395. DOI: 10.1111/j.0033-3298.2004.00399.x
- Pinkard, T. (2009). Recognition, the Right, and the Good. In: H. Schmidt-am-Busch and Ch. Zurn, eds. *The Philosophy of Recognition. Historical and Contemporary Perspectives*. Louisville: Lexington Books, pp. 129–150.
- Schütz, A. (1976). Subjective Action and Objective Interpretation. In: H.R. Wagner, ed. *Annals of Phenomenological Sociology*. Volume I. Dayton: Wright State University, pp. 34–46.
- Shils, E.A. and Finch, H.A. (1949). *Max Weber on the Methodology of the Social Sciences*. Glencoe: The Free Press.
- Smith, A.D. (1977). Dispositional Properties. *Mind*, 86(343), pp. 439–445.
- Tugby, M. (2014). Categoricalism, Dispositionalism, and the Epistemology of Properties. *Synthese*, 191(6), pp. 1147–1162.

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Maxim A. Belyaev – Candidate of Philosophy, Research Fellow of the Legal Philosophy, Theory and History of State and Law Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

CITATION:

Belyaev, M.A. (2019). Deliberative Model of Democracy: Basic Principles and Practical Difficulties. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN – Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14(3), pp. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3-belyaev

DINAR R. ZAYNUTDINOV

Timiryasov Kazan Innovative University (IEML)
42, Moskovskaya str., Kazan 420111, Russian Federation
Institute of Social Sciences and Humanities
13/16, Profsoyuznaya str., Kazan 420111, Russian Federation
E-mail: knight_1988@mail.ru
ORCID: 0000-0003-0836-8769

RIGHT WING SOCIALIST CONSTITUTIONALISM: FAILED ALTERNATIVE (1917–1918)

Abstract. The elections to the All-Russian Constituent Assembly in 1917 showed that a new Russian statehood would be built upon the basics of socialist ideology that should be reflected in the concept of constitutionalism. However, the aims of socialism could be achieved by different ways, thus socialist constitutionalism acquired several directions.

The Bolsheviks were advocates of left wing socialist constitutionalism. The right wing socialist constitutionalism was developed by representatives of a wider political spectrum – right wing of Socialist revolutionaries, Mensheviks, Popular socialists, and socialist national movements. Socialists of both wings did not attribute conventional liberal democratic characteristics to constitutionalism such as limitation of the state power, commitment to rule of law, protection of fundamental rights. Simultaneously, they, taken together, shared the ideas of socialization of land, expansion of the rights of workers, acknowledgment of the citizens' right to participation in state governance. However, the distinctions between the right and left wing approaches towards socialist constitutionalism were vivid. The idea of right wing socialist constitutionalism manifested itself in such categories as “people's will” and “pluralism of representation”, while the basic categories of left wing socialist constitutionalism were “class approach” and “one-party system”.

As contrasted with the Bolsheviks, the right wing socialists did not seek to force constitutional development of the country; they planned to adopt the Constitution only in follow-up of the completion of the Civil war. Probably, that was the reason why the program documents of almost all non-Bolshevistic parties did not draw serious attention to the matters of constitutionalism. The fundamental developments of constitutional theory based upon social-democratic ideology were lacking. Various anti-Bolshevistic regional governments in their statutory acts dwelled upon land, worker-related and national issues, local self-government, however, they failed to embark on constitutional execution of the new Russian statehood. Neither of the parties presented in the Chelyabinsk State Conference did not aim to actualize the constitutional principles rooted in the “Act on Establishment of the All-Russian Supreme Power” of 23 September 1918 (“Constitution of the Ufa Directory”).

The right wing socialist (social democratic) constitutionalism has survived from June 1918 (establishment of the Committee of the members of All-Russian Constituent Assembly) through November 18, 1918 (arrest by the officers of Omsk military post of representatives of social democratic wing of the Directory and transfer of single supreme power to A.V. Kolchak). The left wing socialist (Soviet) constitutionalism has survived from January 1918 (dispersal of the All-Russian Constituent Assembly and seizure of power by the Bolsheviks) through December 1991 (adoption of the Declaration on the dissolution of the USSR by the Council of the Republic of the USSR Supreme Soviet (Parliament)). Over this quite a prolonged period a theory of Soviet constitutionalism that gained regulatory consolidation in the Soviet Constitutions was elaborated. However, the narrow limits of the communist ideology, complete withdrawal from pluralism of opinions have ideologically depleted the Soviet regime the fact that resulted in the collapse of the socialist constitutionalism, at large.

Keywords: constitutionalism, socialist constitutionalism, right wing socialist constitutionalism, left wing socialist constitutionalism, social democracy, Russian revolution of 1917, Soviets, Constituent Assembly, Civil war, Soviet constitutions

REFERENCES

- (1929). Ufimskoe Gosudarstvennoe soveshchanie [Ufa State Conference]. In: A. Izyumov, A. Kizevetter and Ya. Slavik, eds. *Russkii istoricheskii arkhiv. Sbornik pervyi* [Russian Historical Archive. First Collection]. Praga: Tipografiya "Orbis", pp. 59–280. (in Russ.).
- Ackerman, B. (1997). The Rise of World Constitutionalism. *Virginia Law Review*, 83(4), pp. 771–797. DOI: 10.2307/1073748
- Bernstein, E. (1899). *Die voraussetzungen des sozialismus und die aufgaben der sozialdemokratie*. Stuttgart: J.H.W. Dietz. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Bernstein, E. (2011). *Usloviya vozmozhnosti sotsializma i zadachi sotsial-demokratii* [The Preconditions of Socialism and the Tasks of Social Democracy]. Translated from German. 2nd ed. Moscow: Librokom].
- Brunner, G. (1983). Einleitung. I. Funktionen der Verfassung. In: M. Fincke, ed. *Handbuch der Sowjetverfassung*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot. (in Germ.).
- Chernov, V.M. (1936). *The Great Russian Revolution*. New Haven: Yale University Press. [Russ. ed.: Chernov, V.M. (2007). *Velikaya russkaya revolyutsiya. Vospominaniya predsedatelya Uchreditel'nogo sobraniya, 1905–1920* [The Great Russian Revolution. Memoirs of the Chairman of the All-Russian Constituent Assembly, 1905–1920]. Translated from English E.A. Kats. Moscow: Tsentrpoligraf].
- Eremenko, Yu.P. (1980). Ponyatie i sistema sotsialisticheskogo konstitutsionalizma [The Concept and System of Socialist Constitutionalism]. In: *Pravoporyadok i pravovoi status lichnosti v razvitym sotsialisticheskem obshchestve v svete Konstitutsii SSSR 1977 goda* [Legal Order and the Legal Status of an Individual in the Developed Socialist Society in the Context of the USSR Constitution of 1977]. Saratov: Saratovskii universitet Publ., pp. 175–196. (in Russ.).
- Gurvitch, G. (1931). *L'idée du droit social*. Paris: Sirey. (in Fr.). [Russ. ed.: Gurvich, G.D. (2004). Ideya sotsial'nogo prava [Idea of Social Law]. Translated from French by M.V. Antonov. In: Gurvich, G.D. *Filosofiya i sotsiologiya prava: Izbrannye sochineniya* [Philosophy and Sociology of Law: Selected Works]. Saint Petersburg: Izdatel'skii dom Sankt-Peterburgskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta; Yuridicheskii fakul'tet Sankt-Peterburgskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta Publ., pp. 41–212].
- Ioffe, G.Z. (1977). *Krakh rossiiskoi monarkhicheskoi kontrrevolyutsii* [Monarchist Counterrevolution Collapse in Russia]. Moscow: Nauka. (in Russ.).
- Ioffe, G.Z. (1983). *Kolchakovskaya avantyura i ee krakh* [Kolchak's Adventure and Its Collapse]. Moscow: Mysl'. (in Russ.).
- Ivanets, G.I., Kalinskii, I.V. and Chervonyuk, V.I. (2002). *Konstitutsionnoe pravo Rossii: entsiklopedicheskii slovar'* [Constitutional Law of Russia: Encyclopedic Dictionary]. Moscow: Yuridicheskaya literatura. (in Russ.).
- Kochetkov, V.V. (2014). *Konstitutsionalizm i sistema rossiiskoi vlasti v kontse XIX – na-chale XX veka* [Constitutionalism and the System of Russian Power in the Late 19th and Early 20th Century]. Moscow: Yurlitinform. (in Russ.).
- Kutafin, O.E. (2011). *Izbrannye trudy: v 7 tomakh. T. 7. Rossiiskii konstitutsionalizm* [Selected Works in Seven Volumes. Volume 7. Russian Constitutionalism]. Moscow: Prospekt. (in Russ.).
- Lenin, V.I. (1969). O konstitutsionnykh illyuziyakh [Constitutional Illusions]. In: Lenin, V.I. *Polnoe sobranie sochinenii. T. 34.* [Collected Works]. Volume 34. 5th ed. Moscow: Politicheskoi literature Publ., pp. 33–47. (in Russ.).
- Mamitova, N.V. (2006). *Rossiiskii konstitutsionalizm: istoriya i sovremennost'* [Russian Constitutionalism: History and Modernity]. The Doctor of Legal Sciences Thesis. Moscow: The Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration. (in Russ.).

Mel'gunov, S.P. (2005). *Tragediya admirala Kolchaka: V 2 knigakh. Kniga pervaya: Chasti I, II* [The Tragedy of Admiral Kolchak: In Two Books]. Book One: Parts I, II. Moscow: Airis-press. (in Russ.).

Parkhomenko, A.G. (2012). *Konstitutsionalizm: stanovlenie i razvitiye v Rossii* [Constitutionalism: The Formation and Development in Russia]. Moscow; Voronezh: MPSU; MODEK. (in Russ.).

Poole, R.A. (2015). Nineteenth-Century Russian Liberalism: Ideals and Realities. *Kritika: Explorations in Russian and Eurasian History*, 16(1), pp. 157–181. DOI: 10.1353/kri.2015.0003

Popov, M.Yu. and Uporov, I.V. (2017). Mestnye Sovety sovetskogo gosudarstva mezhdju Konstitutsiyami SSSR 1924 i 1936 gg. (organizatsionno-pravovoи aspekt) [Local Councils of the Soviet State Between the Constitution of the USSR in 1924 and 1936 (Legal Aspect)]. *Istoričeskaya i sotsial'no-obrazovatel'naya mysl'* [Historical and Social Educational Idea], 9(1–2), pp. 60–64. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.17748/2075-9908-2017-9-1/2-60-64

Praisman, L.G. (2015). *Tretii put' v Grazhdanskoi voine. Demokraticeskaya revolyutsiya 1918 goda na Volge* [The Third Way in Civil War. Democratic Revolution of 1918 in Volga Regions]. Saint Petersburg: N.I. Novikov Publ.; I Izdatel'skii dom "Gallina Scripsit". (in Russ.).

Protasov, L.G. (1997). *Vserossiiskoe Uchreditel'noe sobranie: Istoriya rozhdeniya i gibeli* [All-Russian Constituent Assembly: The History of Birth and Death]. Moscow: ROSSPEN. (in Russ.).

Rosenfeld, M. (2001). The Rule of Law and the Legitimacy of Constitutional Democracy. *Southern California Law Review*, 74(5), pp. 1307–1351.

Rybakov, O.Yu. (2011). *Pravovaya politika kak nauchnaya teoriya v istoriko-pravovykh issledovaniyakh* [Legal Policy as a Scientific Theory in Historical and Legal Studies]. Moscow: Statut. (in Russ.).

Stepanov, I.M. (2002). Konstitutsionalizm [Constitutionalism]. In B.N. Topornin, ed. *Yuridicheskaya entsiklopediya* [Legal Encyclopedia]. Moscow: "Yurist", pp. 440–441. (in Russ.).

Stuchka, P.I. (1924). *Revolyutsionnaya rol' prava i gosudarstva. Obshchee uchenie o prave* [The Revolutionary Role of Law and State. General Concept of Law]. 3rd ed. Moscow: Kommunisticheskaya akademiya Publ. (in Russ.).

Stuchka, P.I. (1931). *13 let bor'by za revolyutsionno-marksistskuyu teoriyu prava. Sbornik statei 1917–1930 gg.* [13 Years of the Struggle for the Revolutionary Marxist Theory of Law: Collected Papers of 1917–1930]. Moscow: Gosudarstvennoe yuridicheskoe Publ. (in Russ.).

Tugan-Baranovskii, M.I. (1996). Sotsializm kak polozhitel'noe uchenie [Socialism as a Positive Concept]. In: Tugan-Baranovskii, M.I. *K luchshemu budushchemu. Sbornik sotsial'no-filosofskikh proizvedenii* [For a Better Future. Collection of Socio-Philosophical Works]. Moscow: ROSSPEN, pp. 257–437. (in Russ.).

Vishnyak, M.V. (1922). *Chernyi god. Publitsisticheskie ocherki* [Black Year. Publicistic Essays]. Paris: "Franko-russkaya pechat" Publ. (in Russ.).

Vol'skii, V.K. (1917). *Lektsii. Programma i taktika Partii sotsialistov-revolyutsionerov* [Lectures. Program and Tactics of the Socialist Revolutionary Party]. Tver: Tipografiya Gubernskogo Zemstva. (in Russ.).

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Dinar R. Zaynutdinov – Candidate of Legal Sciences, Associate Professor, Associate Professor of the Department of Theory of State and Law and Public Law, Timiryasov Kazan Innovative University (IEML); Head of Legal Department, Institute of Social Sciences and Humanities.

CITATION:

Zaynutdinov, D.R. (2019). Right Wing Socialist Constitutionalism: Failed Alternative (1917–1918). *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN – Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14(3), pp. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3- zaynutdinov

ELVIRA V. TALAPINA

Institute of State and Law of the Russian Academy of Sciences
10, Znamenka st., Moscow, Russia, 119019
E-mail: comparative_law@igpran.ru
ORCID: 0000-0003-3395-3126

EVOLUTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN DIGITAL ERA

The article was prepared within the framework of the scientific project № 18-011-00406 “Human rights in the Internet Era: Public Law aspect”, supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

Abstract. The digitalization produces a dramatic impact on fundamental human rights and their legal regulation. Regardless public & legal origin, human rights are associated with private law, primarily, via a concept of subjective public rights. The process of constitutionalization of private law is based upon the concept of universality and supremacy of human rights which should be realized both in public and private law. At the same time, it is the very digitalization that gives a certain prospect to withdraw from the concept of subjective public rights in some spheres while, for instance, ensuring openness and accessibility of information owned by the state irrespective of active measures (requests) from private individuals.

The system of human rights will be changing subject to the conditions of their realization. With the advent of digital technologies, the so called digital rights arise – right to access to Internet, right to oblivion, right to protection from unwanted information that have already gained legal recognition in different countries. Across the globe digital rights are interpreted as specific human rights of public law origin. However, in Russia this term was introduced into the Civil Code to denote property rights realized in the information systems.

As a way out of the arisen terminology discrepancy it is proposed to use the term “binary rights” for public & legal identification of digital rights that underlines a link with digital data transmission (binary) and also through word games conveys the duality of existence of these rights both online and offline.

The implementation of digital technologies weakens the protection of private life at mass level. Simultaneously, at individual level the right to protection of personal data has increasingly gained attention and regulation. The analysis of practice of international courts shows that the problem of human rights realization amidst the development of digital technologies should be regarded in a wider context, with due regard to the emerging social and moral issues.

The concept of universality of human rights harmoniously blends with neutrality and universality of digital technologies. In the era of digitalization the essence of a human being and the related values does not change and those are precisely the human rights that can become a unified dedicated perspective in defining attitude towards different new technologies.

Keywords: human rights, digital technologies, universality of human rights, digital rights, personal data, Internet, private life

REFERENCES

Arkhipov, V.V. (2018). Problema kvalifikatsii personal'nykh dannykh kak nematerial'nykh blag v usloviyakh tsifrovoy ekonomiki, ili net nichego bolee praktichnogo, chem khoroshaia teoriya [Personal Data as Nonmaterial Values (or There is Nothing More Practical Than a Good Theory]. *Zakon* [Law], (2), pp. 52–68. (in Russ.).

Brownsword, R., Scotford, E. and Yeung, K. (2017). Law, Regulation, and Technology: The Field, Frame, and Focal Questions. In: R. Brownsword, E. Scotford and K. Yeung, eds. *The*

Oxford Handbook of Law, Regulation and Technology. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 3–40. DOI: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199680832.013.1

Busurmanov, Zh.D. (2010). *Evraziiskaya kontseptsiya prav cheloveka* [Eurasian Concept of Human Rights]. Astana: Kazakhskii gumanitarnyi yuridicheskii universitet Publ. (in Russ.).

Dolunay, A., Kasap, F. and Keçeci, G. (2017). Freedom of Mass Communication in the Digital Age in the Case of the Internet: “Freedom House” and the USA Example. *Sustainability*, 9(10), pp. 1739–1760. DOI:10.3390/su9101739

Florimond, G. (2016). *Droit et Internet. De la logique internationaliste à la logique réalistre*. Paris: Mare & Martin. (in Fr.).

Gracheva, M.A. (2017). Pravo cheloveka na uvazhenie chastnoi zhizni i ego zashchita v Evropeiskom sude po pravam cheloveka [The Human Right to Respect for Private Life and Protection in the European Court of Human Rights]. *Mezhdunarodnyi zhurnal konstitutsionnogo i gosudarstvennogo prava* [International Journal of Constitutional and State Law], (1), pp. 55–61. (in Russ.).

Kolotova, N.V. (2018). Razvitie teorii prav cheloveka v sovetskoi pravovoi doktrine [The Development of Human Rights Theory in the Soviet Legal Doctrine]. In: A.N. Savenkov, ed. *Transformatsii prav cheloveka v sovremenном mire* [Human Rights Transformations in the Modern World]. Moscow: Norma; INFRA-M, pp. 108–127. (in Russ.).

Krotov, A.V. (2015). *Nekotorye aspekty i osobennosti regulirovaniya prava na chastnyu zhizn' v formate internet-prostranstva* [Some Aspects and Particularities of Regulation of the Right to Privacy in the Format of Internet Space]. Barnaul: IG “Si-press”. (in Russ.).

Lapaeva, V.V. (2012). *Tipy pravoponimaniya: pravovaya teoriya i praktika* [Types of Understanding of Law: Legal Theory and Practice]. Moscow: Rossiiskaya akademiya pravosudiya. (in Russ.).

Lektorskiy, V.A. (2009). Prava cheloveka v kontekste globalizatsii [Human Rights in the Context of Globalization]. In: *Vseobshchaya deklaratsiya prav cheloveka: universalizm i mnogoobrazie opytov* [The Universal Declaration of Human Rights: Universalism and Variety of Experiences]. Moscow: Institut gosudarstva i prava RAN, pp. 43–52. (in Russ.).

Lukasheva, E.A. (2009). Rossiiskaya tsivilizatsiya i prava cheloveka [Russian Civilization and Human Rights]. In: *Vseobshchaya deklaratsiya prav cheloveka: universalizm i mnogoobrazie opytov* [The Universal Declaration of Human Rights: Universalism and Variety of Experiences]. Moscow: Institut gosudarstva i prava RAN, pp. 53–69. (in Russ.).

Lukasheva, E.A. (2018). Prava cheloveka i kul'tura [Human Rights and Culture]. In: A.N. Savenkov, ed. *Transformatsii prav cheloveka v sovremennom mire* [Human Rights Transformations in the Modern World]. Moscow: Norma; INFRA-M, pp. 13–38. (in Russ.).

Maltsev, G.V. (2009). Prava cheloveka v svete sovremennykh predstavlenii o gosudarstvennom suverenitete [Human Rights in the Light of Modern Ideas of the State Sovereignty]. In: *Vseobshchaya deklaratsiya prav cheloveka: universalizm i mnogoobrazie opytov* [The Universal Declaration of Human Rights: Universalism and Variety of Experiences]. Moscow: Institut gosudarstva i prava RAN, pp. 106–120. (in Russ.).

Mamut, L.S. (2006). Prava priobretayutsya [The Rights are Acquired]. In: E.A. Lukasheva and N.V. Kolotova, eds. *Pravo i prava cheloveka v usloviyah globalizatsii (materialy nauchnoi konferentsii)* [Law and Human Rights in the Conditions of Globalization (Materials of the Scientific Conference)]. Moscow: Institut gosudarstva i prava RAN, pp. 22–29. (in Russ.).

Mamut, L.S. (2009). Vseobshchaya deklaratsiya prav cheloveka i Konstitutsiya Rossiiskoi Federatsii [The Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Constitution of the Russian Federation]. In: *Vseobshchaya deklaratsiya prav cheloveka: universalizm i mnogoobrazie opytov* [The Universal Declaration of Human Rights: Universalism and Variety of Experiences]. Moscow: Institut gosudarstva i prava RAN, pp. 218–229. (in Russ.).

Mantelero, A. (2018). AI and Big Data: A Blueprint for a Human Rights, Social and Ethical Impact Assessment. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 34(4), pp. 754–772. DOI: 10.1016/j.clsr.2018.05.017

Proskuryakova, M.I. (2016). Personal'nye dannye: rossiiskaya i germanskaya natsional'nye modeli konstitutsionno-pravovoi zashchity v sravnitel'noi perspektive [Personal Data: Russian and German National Models of the Constitutional Protection in Comparative Perspective]. *Sravnitel'noe konstitutsionnoe obozrenie* [Comparative Constitutional Review], (6), pp. 84–98. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.21128/1812-7126-2016-6-84-98

Rusinova, V.N. (2018). Legalizatsiya “massovoi slezhki” Evropeiskim sudom po pravam cheloveka: chto stoit za Postanovleniem po delu “Big Brazer Votch i drugie protiv Soedinennogo Korolevstva”? [Legalization of “Mass Surveillance” by the European Court of Human Rights: What Stands behind the Judgment in the Case of Big Brother Watch and Others v. the United Kingdom?]. *Meždunarodnoe pravosudie* [International Justice], (4), pp. 3–20. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.21128/2226-2059-2018-4-3-20

Saint-Aubin, Th. (2015). Les droits de l'opérateur de données sur son patrimoine numérique informationnel. In: I. Bouhadana and W. Gilles, eds. *Droit et gouvernance des données publiques et privées à l'ère du numérique*. Paris: Les éditions Imodev, pp.141–155. (in Fr.).

Sartor, G. (2017). Human Rights and Information Technologies. In: R. Brownsword, E. Scottford and K. Yeung, eds. *The Oxford Handbook of Law, Regulation and Technology*. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 424–450. DOI: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199680832.013.79

Stepin, V.S. (2009). Prava cheloveka v epokhu globalizatsii i dialoga kul'tur [Human Rights in the Era of Globalization and Dialogue of Cultures]. In: *Vseobshchaya deklaratsiya prav cheloveka: universalizm i mnogoobrazie opytov* [The Universal Declaration of Human Rights: Universalism and Variety of Experiences]. Moscow: Institut gosudarstva i prava RAN, pp. 14–31. (in Russ.).

Tereshchenko, L.K. (2018). Gosudarstvennyi kontrol' v sfere zashchity personal'nykh dannykh [State Control in Personal Data Protection]. *Pravo. Zhurnal Vysshey shkoly ekonomiki* [Law. Journal of the Higher School of Economics], (4), pp. 142–161. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.17323/2072-8166.2018.4.142.161

Thumfart, J. (2017). Francisco de Vitoria and the Nomos of the Code: The Digital Commons and Natural Law, Digital Communication as a Human Right, Just Cyber-Warfare. In: J.M. Beneyto and J.C. Varela, eds. *At the Origins of Modernity: Francisco de Vitoria and the Discovery of International Law*. Cham: Springer, pp. 197–217. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-62998-8_11

Ulbashev, A. (2017). O konstitutsionalizatsii chastnogo prava [On Constitutionalization of Private Law]. *Sravnitel'noe konstitutsionnoe obozrenie* [Comparative Constitutional Review], (6), pp. 87–100. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.21128/1812-7126-2017-6-87-100

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Elvira V. Talapina – Doctor of Legal Sciences, Doctor of Law (France), Chief Research Fellow of the Human Rights Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

CITATION:

Talapina, E.V. (2019). Evolution of Human Rights in the Digital Era. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN – Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14(3), pp. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3-talapina

KONSTANTIN L. CHAIKA

The Court of the Eurasian Economic Union
5, Kirova str., Minsk 220006, Republic of Belarus
E-mail: chayka@courteurasian.org
ORCID: 0000-0003-0691-5482

COURT OF INTEGRATIVE ORGANIZATION AS INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL BODY

Abstract. International justice is one of the most dynamically developing domain of the international law. The doctrine understands international court as an independent authority operating on a permanent basis or established *ad hoc*, authorized to adopt decisions on the basis of the international law which are binding upon the parties or enjoy an interpretational authority.

All kinds of international courts operate in the contemporary world. The nature of relationships between them does not enable to talk about the consistency of the international justice. Different international courts operate on the basis of own procedural rules in the absence of institutional structure of interaction, with the exclusively voluntary use of practices from each other, possibility of adopting opposing decisions on similar issues and lack of rules for resolving a conflict of jurisdictions. Along with this, the authorities of international justice do not exist as separated, closed structures; they actively interact and under mutual influence of each other, in aggregate actualizing a common function of administration of international justice on the basis of the governing international law.

In general, international courts can be divided into classical international courts, regional courts on human rights, international criminal courts and courts of regional integrative organizations. The emergence of the latter is conditioned by the establishment of integrative organizations in a number of regions and formation of special supranational law and order within their framework. Courts of integrative organizations are substantially different from national courts and from classical international courts.

It is obvious that the tasks and functions of international criminal courts and regional courts of human rights are fundamentally different as compared to those that are imposed on the courts of integrative organizations. From classical cross-border courts the courts of integrative organizations are distinguishable for their specific competence – issues referred by the states parties to supranational level, possibility of participating in the proceedings of private individuals as well as tendency towards constitutionalization of justice.

The constitutionalization of justice of courts of integrative organizations means that the constituent treaties of the respective organizations play the same role for their courts as the national constitutions for constitutional courts of member states, since all other acts adopted within the framework of integrative organizations as well as acts (*inertia*) of the related authorities are verified against compliance to constitutive treaties. At this, the function of ensuring the supremacy of law of integrative organizations and protection of its values is entrusted to the court. And general values of integrative organizations, being borrowed, as a rule, from national constitutions, are transformed by the court into general principles of integrative organizations and becoming a basis for adoption of the judicial acts.

All the denoted indications of courts of integrative organizations are vividly manifesting themselves in the status and practice of the Court of the Eurasian Economic Union (EAEU).

Keywords: international justice, international court, court of integrative organization, constitutionalization of international justice, independence of judges, supremacy of law of integrative organization, Court of EAEU

REFERENCES

- Barnett, P.R. (2001). *Res Judicata, Estoppel, and Foreign Judgments: The Preclusive Effects of Foreign Judgments in Private International Law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bodnar, A. (2014). Res Interpretata: Legal Effect of the European Court of Human Rights' Judgments for other States Than Those Which Were Party to the Proceedings. In: Y. Haeck and E. Brems, eds. *Human Rights and Civil Liberties in the 21st Century*. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 223–262. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-7599-2_10
- Bondar, N.S. (2006). Konvensionnaya yurisdiktsiya Evropeiskogo suda po pravam cheloveka v sootnoshenii s kompetentsiei Konstitutsionnogo Suda RF [Conventional Jurisdiction of the ECHR in Relation to the Competence of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation]. *Zhurnal rossiiskogo prava* [Journal of Russian Law], (6), pp. 113–127. (in Russ.).
- Diyachenko, E. and Entin, K. (2017). Kompetentsiya Suda Evraziiskogo ekonomicheskogo soyuza: mify i real'nost' [Competence of the Eurasian Economic Union Court: Myths and Realities]. *Meždunarodnoe pravosudie* [International Justice], (3), pp. 76–95. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.21128/2226-2059-2017-3-76-95
- Dyachenko, E.B. and Entin, K.V. (2018). Svoistva prava Evraziiskogo ekonomiceskogo soyuza skvoz' prizmu praktiki Suda EAES [Properties of the EAEC Law through the Prism of the Practice of the Court of the Eurasian Economic Union]. *Zhurnal rossiiskogo prava* [Journal of Russian Law], (10), pp. 123–133. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.12737/art_2018_10_12
- Dyachenko, E.B. and Entin, K.V. (2019). Obzor praktiki Suda Evraziiskogo ekonomicheskogo soyuza v 2017–2018 godakh [An Overview of the Eurasian Economic Union Court's Case Law in 2017–2018]. *Zakon* [Law], (3), pp. 88–109. (in Russ.).
- Entin, K. and Dyachenko, E. (2019). Obzor praktiki Suda Evraziiskogo ekonomiceskogo soyuza v 2018 godu [An Overview of the Case-Law of the Eurasian Economic Union Court in 2018]. *Meždunarodnoe pravosudie* [International Justice], (1), pp. 3–22. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.21128/2226-2059-2019-1-3-22
- Ispolinov, A.S. (2016). Pervoe reshenie suda EAES: reviziya nasledstva i ispytanije iskusheniem [The First Judgment of the Court of the Eurasian Economic Union: A Revision of Legacy and a Temptation Challenge]. *Rossiiskii yuridicheskii zhurnal* [Russian Juridical Journal], (4), pp. 85–93. (in Russ.).
- Ispolinov, A.S. (2018). *Sudy regional'nykh integratsionnykh ob"edinenii v mezhdunarodnom pravosudii (na primere Suda ES i Suda EAES)* [Courts of Regional Integration Associations in International Justice (the Cases of the EU Court and the EAEU Court)]. The Doctor of Legal Sciences Thesis. Moscow: Lomonosov Moscow State University. (in Russ.).
- Kapustin, A.Ya. (2008). Mezhdunarodnoe pravo i mezhdunarodnoe pravosudie [International Law and International Justice]. In: I.A. Malashenko, cont. *Materialy Mezhdunarodnoi nauchno-prakticheskoi konferentsii, posvyashchennoi 15-letiyu Ekonomicheskogo suda SNG (21 iyunya 2007 g.)* [Materials of the International Scientific Conference dedicated to the 15th Anniversary of the Economic Court of the Commonwealth of Independent States (21 June 2007)]. Minsk: Kovcheg, pp. 101–104. (in Russ.).
- Kozhevnikov, F.I. and Sharmazanashvili, G.V. (1971). *Mezhdunarodnyi sud OON: organizatsiya, tseli, praktika* [International Court of Justice: Organization, Aims, Practice]. Moscow: Mezhdunarodnye otnosheniya. (in Russ.).
- Lenaerts, K. (2017). *The Key Role of the Court of Justice in European Integration*. [online] Kvab.be Available at: https://www.kvab.be/sites/default/rest/blobs/1089/KoenLenaerts_Lentecyclus2017.pdf [Accessed 14 May 2019].
- Lock, T. (2015). *The European Court of Justice and International Courts*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199660476.001.0001
- Longo, M. (2017). *Constitutionalising Europe: Processes and Practices*. London: Routledge. DOI: 10.4324/9781315259550

Marusin, I.S. (2008). *Mezhdunarodnye sudebnye uchrezhdeniya, storonoi razbiratel'stva v kotorykh vprave vystupat' fizicheskie litsa: novye tendentsii razvitiya i sovershenstvovaniya ikh deyatel'nosti* [International Judicial Institutions, in which Individuals are Entitled to Take Part in the Proceedings: New Trends in their Development and Improvement of their Activities. The Doctor of Legal Sciences Thesis' Abstract. Saint Petersburg: Saint Petersburg University. (in Russ.).

McDonald, A. (2002). The Role of Victims and Witnesses in International Criminal Trials. In: R. Yépes-Enriquez, ed. *Treaty Enforcement and International Cooperation in Criminal Matters: With Special Reference to the Chemical Weapons Convention*. The Hague: T.M.C. Asser Press, pp. 257–276. DOI: 10.1007/978-90-6704-695-4_34

Rittberger, B. and Schimmelfennig, F., eds. (2007). *The Constitutionalization of the European Union*. London; New York: Routledge.

Romano, C.P.R. (1999). The Proliferation of International Judicial Bodies: The Pieces of the Puzzle. *New York University Journal of International Law*, 31(4), pp. 709–751.

Shinkaretskaya, G.G. (2014). “Klassicheskie” mezhdunarodnye sudy: rol' v podderzhani pravoporyadka [“Classic” International Courts: Their Role in Supporting Legal Order]. *Mezhdunarodnoe pravo i mezhdunarodnye organizatsii* [International Law and International Organizations], (3), pp. 452–457. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.7256/2226-6305.2014.3.11598

Shinkaretskaya, G.G. (2014). *Razreshenie sporov v mezhdunarodnykh sudakh* [Dispute Resolution in International Courts]. Saarbrucken: LAP Lambert Academic Publishing. (in Russ.).

Sidorenko, A.I. (2018). Realizatsiya doktriny polya usmotreniya v praktike Evropeiskogo suda po pravam cheloveka [Application of the Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in Practice of the European Court of Human Rights]. *Permskii yuridicheskii al'manakh* [Perm Legal Almanac], (1), pp. 111–118. (in Russ.).

Smbatyan, A.S. (2012). *Resheniya organov mezhdunarodnogo pravosudiya v sisteme mezhdunarodnogo publichnogo prava* [Decisions of the International Justice Bodies in the System of Public International Law]. Moscow: Statut. (in Russ.).

Strazhenetsky, V. (2013). Mezhdunarodnye sudy i transformatsiya natsional'nykh pravovyh sistem [International Courts and Transformation of National Legal Systems]. *Meždunarodnoe pravosudie* [International Justice], (3), 64–77. (in Russ.).

Thirlway, H. (2001). The International Court of Justice and Other International Courts. In: N.M. Blokker and H.G. Schermers, eds. *Proliferation of International Organisations: Legal Issues*. The Hague: Kluwer, pp. 251–278.

Tolstykh, V.L. (2011). Formirovanie sistemy mezhdunarodnogo pravosudiya i ee osnovnye kharakteristiki [Formation of the International Justice System and Its Basic Characteristics]. *Rossiiskii yuridicheskii zhurnal* [Russian Juridical Journal], (1), pp. 62–70. (in Russ.).

Tolstykh, V.L. (2015). *Mezhdunarodnye sudy i ikh praktika* [International Courts and their Practice]. Moscow: Mezhdunarodnye otnosheniya. (in Russ.).

Tomuschat, C. (2008). International Courts and Tribunals. In: R. Wolfrum, ed. *The Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law*. [online] Oxford: Oxford University Press. Available at: <https://opil.ouplaw.com/view/10.1093/law:epil/9780199231690/law-9780199231690-e35?prd=EPIL#law-9780199231690-e35-div1-1> [Accessed 20 March 2019]. DOI: 10.1093/law:epil/9780199231690/e35

Volevodz, A.G. (2011). Mezhdunarodnye pravookhranitel'nye organizatsii: ponyatie i sovremenennaya sistema [Institutions of International Law Enforcement: Notions and Systems]. *Mezhdunarodnoe ugolovnoe pravo i mezhdunarodnaya yustitsiya* [International Criminal Law and International Justice], (2), pp. 9–13. (in Russ.).

Witte de, B. (1995). Interpreting the EC Treaty Like a Constitution: The Role of the European Court of Justice in Comparative Perspective. In: R. Bakker, A.W. Heringa and A.M. Stroink, eds. *Judicial Control: Comparative Essays on Judicial Review*. Antwerpen: Maklu, pp. 133–152.

AUTHOR'S INFO

Konstantin L. Chaika – Judge of the Court of the Eurasian Economic Union, Candidate of Legal Sciences, Honored Lawyer of the Russian Federation

CITATION:

Chaika, K.L. (2019). Court of Integrative Organization as International Judicial Body. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN – Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14(3), pp. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3-chaika

IRINA K. IVANOVA

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences
10, Znamenka str., Moscow 119019, Russian Federation
E-mail: iksa63@mail.ru
ORCID: 0000-0002-3052-453X

CONSTITUTIONAL RATIONALES FOR THE UNITED KINGDOM'S EXIT FROM THE EUROPEAN UNION

Abstract. The Treaty of the European Union stipulates that a Member State is entitled to take a decision to exit the European Union pursuant to the national constitutional regulations, however, neither of the Constitutions of the Union Member States provides for such a procedure.

In course of the 2015 election campaign in the United Kingdom, the Conservative Party promised its electors to hold a public referendum regarding the stay within membership in the European Union. This Party won the elections, and on June 23, 2016 a referendum took place where 51.4% of the ones participating in voting expressed support for exiting the European Union. Following the referendum, the objections against Brexit were perceived as a move to reverse the will of the majority of electors; however, the Government could not consider the outcome of the referendum as a sufficient legal basis for adopting a decision on exit.

As the implications of Brexit have become clear, the concerns of the community in respect of the admissibility of using prerogative powers, enabling the Government to act without the Parliament's approval and court supervision, to exit the Union have amplified.

In the judgment rendered on the Miller's case dated January 24, 2017, the Supreme Court of the United Kingdom ascertained that the Constitution prevents any exit of the country from the European Union and termination of treaties with the Union based upon prerogative powers of the Government in the international domain. To notify EU of the intention to exit the Union, the Parliament shall submit a relevant mandate to the Government by virtue of the law adopted by both houses of Parliament. Along with this, the Constitution of the United Kingdom does not require the legislatures or the governments of the regions to consent to exit of the country from the European Union.

On March 13, 2017, a parliamentary enactment, legally empowering the Prime Minister to launch Brexit and notify the European Union of the intention of the United Kingdom to exit the Union, was adopted. The statement of the United Kingdom on exit from EU dated March 29, 2017 meant that the country entered the path of radical transformations linked to cessation of effect of the European law and jurisdiction of EU Court across the territory of the country.

Keywords: European Union, exit from EU, Brexit, Constitution of the United Kingdom, referendum, prerogative powers of the Government, regions

REFERENCES

- Alder, J. (2015). *Constitutional and Administrative Law*. London: Palgrave. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-37-47492-6
- Barber, N. (2010). *The Constitutional State*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199585014.001.0001
- Barber, N. (2009). Laws and Constitutional Conventions. *Law Quarterly Review*, 125(2), pp. 294–309.
- Bellamy, R. (2007). *Political Constitutionalism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511490187
- Blick, A. (2014). The Cabinet Manual and the Codification of Conventions. *Parliamentary Affairs*, 67(1), pp. 191–208. DOI: 10.1093/pa/gss040

- Bogdanor, V. (2009). *The New British Constitution*. Oxford: Hart Publ.
- Clayton, R. (2017). *The Brexit Case That Never Was*. [online] UK Constitutional Law Association. Available at: <https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2017/03/22/richard-clayton-qc-the-brexit-case-that-never-was/> [Accessed 17 July 2018].
- Dixon, D. (2018). Article 50 and Member State Sovereignty. *German Law Journal*, 19(4), pp. 902–940. DOI: 10.1017/s2071832200022914
- Elliott, M., Williams, J. and Young, A. eds. (2018). *The United Kingdom Constitution after Miller: Brexit and Beyond*. Oxford: Hart Publ. DOI: 10.5040/9781509916436
- Garner, O. (2016). *Why all Member States Should Clarify their Constitutional Requirements for Withdrawing from the EU*. [online] Verfassungsblog. Available at: <https://verfassungsblog.de/why-all-member-states-should-clarify-their-constitutional-requirements-for-withdrawing-from-the-eu/> [Accessed 17 October 2018]. DOI: 10.17176/20161102-102646.
- Jowell, J. (2000). Beyond the Rule of Law: Towards Constitutional Judicial Review. *Public Law*, 45(4), pp. 671–684.
- Jowell, J., Oliver, D. and O'Cinneide, C. eds. (2011). *The Changing Constitution*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI: 10.1093/he/9780198709824.001.0001
- Leyland, P. (2016). *The Constitution of the United Kingdom: A Contextual Analysis*. Oxford: Hart Publ.
- Masterman, R. (2011). *The Separation of Powers in the Contemporary Constitution: Judicial Competence and Independence in the United Kingdom*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. DOI: 10.1017/cbo9780511933820
- Nicol, D. (2006). Law and Politics after the Human Rights Act. *Public Law*, 51(4), pp. 722–752.
- O'Neill, A. (2017). Miller, BrEXIT and BreUK-up. *COUNSEL*, [online] (3). Available at: <https://www.counselmagazine.co.uk/articles/miller-brexit-and-breuk> [Accessed 3 March 2019].
- Peers, S. (2013). European Integration and the European Union Act 2011: An Irresistible Force Meets an Immovable Object? *Public Law*, 58(1), pp. 119–134.
- Poole, T. (2010). United Kingdom: The Royal Prerogative. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 8(1), pp. 146–155. DOI: 10.1093/icon/mop038
- Tomkins, A. (2016). *Brexit, Democracy and the Rule of Law*. [online] Verfassungsblog. Available at: <https://verfassungsblog.de/Brexit-democracy-and-the-Rule-of-Law> [Accessed 10 March 2019]. DOI: 10.17176/20161107-103833

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Irina K. Ivanova – Candidate of Legal Sciences, Research Fellow of the Human Rights Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

CITATION:

Ivanova, I.K. (2019). Constitutional Rationales for the United Kingdom's Exit from the European Union. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN – Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14 (3), pp. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3-ivanova

XIN MA

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences
10, Znamenka str., Moscow 119019, Russian Federation
E-mail:387881735@qq.com
ORCID: 0000-0002-9996-2263

CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL OFFENCES AS A TOOL FOR PREVENTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HARM IN CHINA

Abstract. In 2015 a special paragraph dealing with crimes against environmental protection was incorporated into Chapter 6 of the Criminal Code of China. Over the last years the criminal proceedings have been increasingly brought for environmental crimes. It is conditioned by the fact that at the XIX Congress of the Communist Party of China the environmental protection was recognized as a strategic task. The Supreme People's Procurator Office and the Supreme People's Court adopted the Instruction on application of the law in this area in 2016; the environmental courts for duly qualified trial of cases related to environmental protection were established in May 2017.

Though the definition of environmental crime has not been formulated at the legislative level, different options for its interpretation are proposed in the legal doctrine. Some of them are based upon the concepts of anthropocentrism and do not address the environmental value, others consider natural resources or environmental system as an object of a crime.

The Criminal Code of China is based upon the principle of "double punishment". If the environmental crime is committed by an organization, then along with the prosecution of the offender a fine shall be imposed on the organization.

According to Article 15 of the Criminal Code of China the criminal responsibility for the crime committed by negligence arises only in cases stipulated by the Code. The articles on environmental crimes do not refer to negligent guilt. Accordingly, it is presumed that environmental crimes can be committed only intentionally. However, the harm inflicted to environment by criminal negligence can be substantial.

Punishments in the form of a fine, arrest, supervision and imprisonment for a certain term are provided for the environmental crimes. However, the growing number of such crimes points out to the inefficiency of the criminal sanctions. Since the articles of the Criminal Code of China dedicated to environmental crimes do not establish a certain fines, there is a wide variation in really imposed penalties for the same crimes. Usually the fine is not big.

It should be assumed that China has attained certain success in environmental protection by criminal law. Twice, in 2002 and in 2011, the Criminal Code of China was supplemented by the amendments concerning environmental crimes; the number of the respective crimes in the law was increased. However, a whole set of provisions still needs corrections. Many problems are conditioned by the fact that the Criminal Code of China is based upon anthropocentrism, natural-resource legislation is of departmental nature and primarily aimed at protection of ownership title to natural resources rather than interests of their rational and comprehensive usage. All these facts result in impairment of the preventive effect of the sanctions provided by the Code.

Keywords: criminal responsibility, the Criminal Code of China, environmental crime, environmental harm, specialized courts on environmental matters, criminal responsibility without establishing guilt, principle of double punishment

REFERENCES

- Chen, C., and Yan, L. (1992). Obsuzhdenie otvetstvennosti bez ustanovleniya viny v ugovornom prave Anglii i SShA [Discussing the Offences of Strict Liability in the Criminal Law of England and the USA]. *Pravovoe issledovanie* [Legal Research], (4), pp. 51–55. (in Chin.).

- Chukichev, Y.V. (2010). *Otvetstvennost' gosudarstvennykh sluzhashchikh za nezakonnoe uchastie v predprinimatel'skoi deyatel'nosti* [Responsibility of State Officials for Unlawful Participation in Entrepreneurial Activity. *Administrativnoe i munitsipal'noe pravo* [Administrative and Municipal Law], (10), pp. 45–48. (in Russ.).
- Chzhan, M. (1999). *Razvitiye ugolovnykh printsipov* [Development of Criminal Law Principles]. Beijing: Pekinskii universitet Publ. (in Chin.).
- Davis, P. and Westgate, A. (2017). *China Focuses on Criminal Enforcement of Environmental Laws*. [online] Latham. London. Available at: <https://www.latham.london/2017/01/china-focuses-on-criminal-enforcement-of-environmental-laws/> [Accessed 17 March 2019].
- Du, P. (2000). *Issledovanie prestuplenii protiv okruzhayushchei sredy i prirodnnykh resursov* [Research of Crimes against the Environment and Natural Resources]. Beijing: Fanchzhen Publ. (in Chin.).
- Fu, L. (2001). *Ekologicheskoe ugolovnoe pravo* [Environmental Criminal Law]. Beijing: Fanchzhen Publ. (in Chin.).
- Hogan, S. (2000). *Ugolovnoe pravo Anglii* [Criminal Law of England]. Translated from English by T. Ma. Beijing: Pravo Publ. (in Chin.).
- Luksetich, W.A. and White, M.M.D. (1982). *Crime and Public Policy: An Economic Approach*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company.
- Ma, S. (1999). Sravnitel'noe issledovanie ekologicheskikh prestuplenii [Comparative Research of Environmental Crimes]. *Zhurnal ugolovnogo prava Kitaya* [Journal of Chinese Criminal Law], (3), pp. 89–94. (in Chin.).
- Ma, X. (2018). Otsenka vozdeistviya na okruzhayushchuyu sredu kak pravovaya mera predupre-zhdeniya ekologicheskogo vreda po zakonodatel'stu Kitaya [Environmental Impact Assessment as a Legal Measure of Preventing Environmental Damage in the Legislation of China]. *Vestnik Udmurtskogo universiteta. Seriya Ehkonomika i pravo* [Bulletin of Udmurt University. Series Economics and Law], 28(2), pp. 240–255. (in Russ.).
- Qian, H. (2003). *Ekonomiceskii analiz yurisprudentsii* [Economic Analysis of Jurisprudence]. Beijing: Pravovaya nauka. (in Chin.).
- Tsi, D. (2000). Razmyshlenie o ryade voprosov, kasayushchikhsya ugolovnogo zakonodatel'stva ob ekologicheskikh prestupleniyakh [Reflection on Issues Related to the Criminal Legislation on Environmental Crimes. *Vestnik Nan'kaiskogo universiteta*. [Bulletin of Nankai University], (6), pp. 10–13. (in Chin.).
- Van, S. (2001). Issledovanie ponyatiya zagryazneniya v germanskem ekologicheskem ugolovnom prave [The Analysis of the Concept of Pollution in German Ecological Criminal Law]. *Sravnitel'noe issledovanie* [Comparative Studies], (2), pp. 53–64. (in Chin.).
- Yan, C. and Xiang, Z (1996). Obsuzhdenie problem okruzhayushchei sredy i ugolovnogo prava [Discussion on the Environment and Criminal Law Problems]. *Issledovanie obshchestvennykh nauk* [Social Sciences], (2), pp. 38–50. (in Chin.).
- Yan, C., Xiang, Z. and Lyu, Z. (1999). *Ecologicheskie prestuplenya :teoriya i praktika* [The Environmental Crimes: Theory and Practice]. Beijing: Vysshee obrazovanie Publ. (in Chin.).
- Yan, L. and E, P. (2007). Sovremenstvovanie sistemy nalozheniya shtrafov za ekologicheskie prestupleniya [The Improvement of Pecuniary Penalty System for Environmental Crimes]. *Vestnik Universiteta Uchzhou* [Journal of Wuzhou University], (1), pp. 52–56. (in Chin.).
- Zhao, B. (2004). *Anglo-amerikanskoe ugolovnoe pravo* [Anglo-American Criminal Law]. Beijing: Kitaiskii narodnyi universitet Publ. (in Chin.).
- Zhao, B., Wan, S. and Du, P. (2004). *Sravnitel'noe issledovanie ekologicheskikh prestuplenii* [Comparative Research of Environmental Crimes]. Beijing: Pravo Publ. (in Chin.).
- Zhen, K. (2009). Sposob preduprezhdeniya i bor'by s ekologicheskimi prestupleniyami v nashei strane [The Method of Environmental Crimes' Prevention and Combat in our Country].

Pravovoe issledovanie Vostochno-Kitaiskogo morya [Legal Research of the East China Sea], (9), pp. 45–57. (in Chin.).

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Xin Ma – Post Graduate Student of the Ecological, Agrarian and Land Law Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

CITATION:

Ma, X. (2019). Criminal Responsibility for Environmental Offences as a Tool for Prevention of Environmental Harm in China. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN – Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14 (3), pp. DOI: [10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3-ma](https://doi.org/10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3-ma)

MIKHAIL V. ANTONOV

School of Law, Higher School of Economics National Research University, Campus in Saint Petersburg

17, Promyshlennaya str., Saint Petersburg 198099, Russian Federation

E-mail: mantonov@hse.ru

ORCID: 0000-0002-6462-2664

REVIEW

Feldbrugge, F. (2018). *A History of Russian Law. From Ancient Times to the Council Code (Ulozhenie) of Tsar Aleksei Mikhailovich of 1649.* Boston; Leiden: Brill. 1097 pp.

Abstract. This paper is a review of the 2018 book by Professor F. Feldbrugge “A History of Russian Law. From Ancient Times to the Council Code (Ulozhenie) of Tsar Aleksei Mikhailovich of 1649”. The book features for its investigative profoundness and methodological correctness. It’s necessary to draw attention to the examination of the key concepts of legal language undertaken by Professor Feldbrugge for better understanding the medieval Russian law. The book also takes into consideration the differences that exist between medieval and contemporary legal thinking. It draws historical examples which illustrate that the work of medieval law in Russia is not understandable without considering the totality of normative mechanisms of Russian medieval society. Also, law cannot be detached from syncretic mentality of medieval men. Professor Feldbrugge relies on functional method, according to which law’s main hallmark is presence of established procedures of dispute resolution, and focuses his attention on legal documents. These procedures can be fixed in documents and be guaranteed by the centralized political power, but this is not crucial for definition of law. This explains legal status of norms and institutions which were developed within the church, land communities, trade associations without being explicitly supported by the state. Such sociological approach allows to go beyond artificial conceptual borderlines that impede a full-fledged examination of medieval law in Russia. Professor Feldbrugge has the astonishing level of knowledge of the relevant Russian literature, including the debates among contemporary Russian historians. The book by Professor Feldbrugge is, perhaps, the most authoritative and comprehensive Western work on Russian medieval law and can therefore serve as a valuable source of information for all those who work at this topic.

Keywords: history of law, medieval law, sources of law, legal normativity, discretion in law, norms of competence, Russia

REFERENCES

- Feldbrugge, F. (2003). The Law's Beginnings. Some Concluding Observations. In: F. Feldbrugge, ed. *The Law's Beginnings*. Leiden; Boston: Nijhoff. P. 255–280.
- Feldbrugge, F. (2009). *Law in Medieval Russia*. Leiden; Boston: Nijhoff. DOI: 10.1163/9789004169852.i-336
- Feldbrugge, F. (2018). *A History of Russian law. From Ancient Times to the Council Code (Ulozhenie) of Tsar Aleksei Mikhailovich of 1649*. Boston; Leiden: Brill. DOI: 10.1163/9789004352148
- Kaiser, D.H. (1981). *The Growth of the Law in Medieval Russia*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. DOI: 10.1515/9781400855599
- Kollmann, N.S. (2018). Feldbrugge, Ferdinand J. A History of Russian Law: From Ancient Times to the Council Code (Ulozhenie) of Tsar Aleksei Mikhailovich of 1649. *Russian Review*, 77(4), pp. 656–658.

Marochkin, S.Yu., Vinnichenko, O.Yu. and Racheva, S.S. (2019). Ferdinand J.M. Feldbrugge, *A History of Russian Law: From Ancient Times to the Council Code (Ulozhenie) of Tsar Aleksei Mikhailovich of 1649*. *Chinese Journal of International Law*, 18(1), pp. 211–215. DOI: 10.1093/chinesejil/jmz002

Zhidkov, O.A. and Krasheninnikova, N.A., eds. (1999). *Istoriya gosudarstva i prava zareubezhnykh stran* [History of State and Law of Foreign Countries]. Moscow: Norma. (in Russ.).

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Mikhail V. Antonov – Candidate of Legal Sciences, Associate Professor of the Department of Theory and History of Law and State, School of Law, Higher School of Economics National Research University, Campus in Saint Petersburg.

CITATION:

Antonov, M.V. (2019). Review: Feldbrugge, F. (2018). *A History of Russian Law. From Ancient Times to the Council Code (Ulozhenie) of Tsar Aleksei Mikhailovich of 1649*. Boston; Leiden: Brill. 1097 pp. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN – Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14(3), pp. DOI: [10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3-antonov](https://doi.org/10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3-antonov)

ANNA V. PUSHKINA

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences
10, Znamenka str., Moscow 119019, Russian Federation
E-mail: ann-pushkina@yandex.ru
ORCID: 0000-0002-6421-5241

IRINA N. LUKIANOVA

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences
10, Znamenka str., Moscow 119019, Russian Federation
E-mail: irinluk@bk.ru
ORCID: 0000-0003-3552-9564

KONSTANTIN A. LEBED

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences
10, Znamenka str., Moscow 119019, Russian Federation
E-mail: konst.leb@mail.ru
ORCID: 0000-0002-1317-4101

THE TEACHER HAS PASSED AWAY... IN MEMORY OF TAMARA E. ABOVA

AUTHORS' INFO:

Anna V. Pushkina – Candidate of Legal Sciences, Research Fellow of the Civil Law, Arbitration and Civil Proceedings Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

Irina N. Lukianova – Candidate of Legal Sciences, Associate Professor, Senior Research Fellow of the Civil Law, Arbitration and Civil Proceedings Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

Konstantin A. Lebed – Candidate of Legal Sciences, Senior Research Fellow of the Civil Law, Arbitration and Civil Proceedings Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

CITATION:

Pushkina, A.V., Lukianova, I.N. and Lebed, K.A. (2019). The Teacher Has Passed Away... In Memory of Tamara E. Abova. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN – Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14 (3), pp. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3-pushkina-lukianova-lebed